

Nussrah



Issue : 9
Nov / Dec 2012

Magazine

A call to the sincere revolutionaries in the lands of ash-Sham

Leaflet from Hizb ut-Tahrir



Kayani bows before America,

no matter what the cost to our blood and honor *Leaflet from Hizb ut-Tahrir
Walayah Pakistan*

..... tour of Syria by Hisham al-Baba *Press Release*

Contents

Editorial: The Leading State	1
Abu Hashem: Morsi intends to subjugate Egypt to the humiliation of the IMF	2
‘Islamic Welfare State’ – a colonial economic model	4
Activism in Egypt: ‘The Egyptian Constitution must be an Islamic Constitution’	6
Leaflet: Only our Khilafah will avenge RasulAllah (SAW) he has a right to be avenged	8
Press Release: Countrywide demonstrations against blasphemy of the Prophet	10
Press Release: The exclusion of Women from Iranian Universities	11
Press Release: Eid message from Hizb ut-Tahrir	12
Press Release: Newcomer Afghan Democrats, Displaying Skills	13
Khurram Qureshi: UNO – a colonial tool in an Imperial age	14
Abu Hashim: Pakistan’s agreement with Serbia is another foreign policy blunder	21
Press Release: The People of Pakistan can no longer be deceived	23
S. Z. Chowdhury: Tafsir Surah al-Nisa verse 58: Fulfill your trusts	25
Press Release: Pakistani rulers justifying their participation in the “War on Terror”	30
Press Release: Is there no limit for the Afghan Government’s political duplicity?	31
Press Release: Abduction of Dr. Golam Mawla from the gate of jail	32
Press Release: To the rulers of Iran, from Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilayah Pakistan	33
Press Release: Letter to the Ambassador of Moroccan Embassy	34
Leaflet: Kayani bows before America, no matter what the cost to our blood and honor	35
Press Release: Hizb ut-Tahrir demonstrated outside Moroccan embassy in Islamabad	38
Leaflet: A call to the sincere revolutionaries in the lands of ash-Sham.	39
Leaflet: America’s alliance with Russia to prevent the re-establishment of Khilafah	42
Press Release: Open letter delivered to Iranian mission in Lahore	44
Press Release: Tour of Syria by Hisham al-Baba	45
Leaflet: Civilian-Military Boards – a project of the West	47
Views on News	49
Activism: Protests on Blasphemy Issue	55
Answers to Questions	60

Price: Rs. 30/-

The Leading State

All eyes are on America again as it is engaged in presidential elections. It is the current leading state and so the outcome of these elections is perceived as being a major factor in determining the global scenario.

As Muslims, we must challenge America's right to be the leading state for all of humankind. America's foreign policy is colonialism, which has led to exploitation of resource rich nations, reducing them to the poorest nations in the world. America's economic system, capitalism, has unleashed deprivation of basic needs due to its innate characteristic of concentrating the wealth in the hands of a small fraction of the population. Such gross concentration was unsustainable, its collapse inevitable. America's material values have led to an unleashing of whims and desires, that have ravaged family values, decency, modesty and undermined the seeking of moral, ethical and spiritual values. And America's ruling system, democracy, has placed the decision for dictating to an entire population right and wrong in the hands of the limited minds of men and women. This in turn has opened the flood gates for vested interests seeking to buy into this powerful privilege, such that the current American elections are the most expensive elections in the history of humankind.

As Muslims, we must not only challenge the current leading state by word, we must do it practically. There are no other people that can assume such a position. The Western major powers all carry the same corrupt and failed ideology which America carries and so have excluded themselves from the right to lead humankind. Similarly, the Eastern major powers, Russia and China, have discarded the

previous alternative, socialism, in favour of capitalism. Only the Muslims can provide a choice to the people in the form of the one alternative left for humankind, Islam.

As Muslims, we have demonstrated previously that we deserve to be the leading state. The Khilafah's foreign policy meant the elevation in the situation of lands opened to Islam, such that these new lands become the centres of the Khilafah itself over time, thence the capital of the Khilafah moved from Madinah, to Damascus, to Baghdad, to Cairo and Istanbul. Islam's economic system meant the enriching of lands, such that the Indian Subcontinent under its system became the economic marvel of the world and Africa was a bread basket for other lands. Islam's goal in life and its sublime values became an inspiration for people throughout the world. And Islam's ruling system forever closed the doors for the corrupt as it uniquely reserves the right for deciding what is right and wrong for humankind to the creator of humankind, Allah SWT, by restricting the ruler to implement the commands and prohibitions within the revealed texts, the Quran and the Sunnah.

It is upon the Muslims, not for the sake of the Ummah alone, but for all peoples of this world, to re-establish Islam as a way of life through the Khilafah state. Only then will the world enjoy a righteous leading state.

Morsi intends to subjugate Egypt to the humiliating conditions of the IMF

Last week, Morsi's government officially begged the IMF for a \$4.9 billion loan. Egypt's Prime Minister, Hesham Qandil described the 5 year loan to be paid back with 1.1% rate as a good deal for the country. The Prime Minister appeared live on Egypt's state television in a desperate bid to justify the loan not by explaining its benefits to the people, as he knows very well that the IMF is an international vampire that sucks the blood of the people, strengthens the economy of the Western powers and props up rulers allied to the West. Instead, he chose to emphasize that Egypt would somehow have the upper hand over the IMF in how the money is to be spent. He said, *"It is Egypt that will mainly have the authority when it comes to how these funds will be spent."* However, he was unable to conceal the truth and in his follow on statement, he contradicted himself and exposed one of IMF's stipulated conditions, which is to force Morsi's government to cut spending related to looking after the affairs of the Egyptian people. He said, *"It is an Egyptian programme that will work on cutting and spending and adopting certain other measures."* Fearing backlash, Qandil intentionally chose not to elaborate on the other draconian measures. It is well known that such measures include: increase in taxes, price hikes on essential items, and further loans from other institutions. All of which will make the people suffer immensely and add to their misery. In fact the Financial Times confirmed these measures. On August 22 2012, the paper stated: *'The IMF wants Egypt to outline plans to reduce its budget deficit by bolstering revenues and trimming the costly public sector, including fuel and food subsidies. Egypt must also secure financing*

from other lending institutions as part of the loan terms.' Qandil ended his live broadcast by spelling out in unequivocal terms how Egypt and her people would become hostage to IMF's humiliating conditions over the next five years. He said, *"Both parties have to agree on certain terms and conditions, and these terms and conditions will be binding to the country that signs this loan."*

So in a matter of 20 days since he took office, Qandil has dashed the hopes of the Egyptian people who were expecting much relief from decades of economic repression under Mubarak's rule. Additionally, Qandil has gravely undermined the Egypt's economic sovereignty by affirming the continuation of IMF's wide spread interference in the country's economy, just as it acted with impunity during Mubarak's era. It is forbidden to give Kafir powers and their international financial institutions authority to interfere in the establishment of economic policy and its subsequent execution. Allah says:

"And never will Allah allow the disbelievers to have a way over the believers." [TMQ: An Nisaa:141].

Besides, Egypt is not in need of any loans or economic assistance. We ask Morsi and Qandil, what happened to the \$70 billion that Mubarak and his family usurped from the people. Why it is that Morsi's regime remains quiet on the recovery of the plundered loot that rightfully belongs to the Egyptian people, but extends its begging bowl to be filled by humiliating IMF loans?

Whilst the treachery of Morsi's regime is becoming clearer to the people the voices of support for Morsi's collaboration with the

Abu Hashem: Morsi intends to subjugate Egypt to the humiliation of the IMF

IMF from Islamic groups is truly disappointing. The Vice President of the Salafi Dawah group, Yasser Borhamy attempted to legalize the riba on IMF loans. He said that the interest on the loan Egypt is set to receive from the IMF does not involve usury, since the interest on the loan is only 1.1 percent, paid in the form of administrative fees, the loan could be considered a grant. Previously, Yousry Hammad, spokesperson for the Salafi Nour Party, had stated that the interest on the IMF loan is not prohibited because it constitutes administrative fees. We ask Borhamy and Hammad as to why are they attempting to describe riba (not matter how minuscule it may be) as halal when Allah and his messenger have declared it haram. Allah says:

O those who have Iman, fear Allah and give up what still remains of the riba if you are believers. But if you do not, then listen to the declaration of war from Allah and His Messenger. [TMQ: Al Baqarah:275- 276].

Abdullah ibn Mas`ud narrates that the messenger of Allah (saw) has cursed the one who accepted usury, the one -who paid it, the witness to it, and the one who recorded it. (Sunan Abu Dawud: Book 22, Number 3327).

O Muslims of Egypt! Within the first 70 days of Morsi's rule, you have witnessed to true extent of his support for America's hegemony in Egypt, as well as his disregard for Islamic rules. The ugly achievements of his regime are: reaffirmation of peace with the Jewish state and enhanced security for the Jews through the demolition of tunnels providing vital supplies to Gaza, injection of fresh military blood to protect American interests from the continued revolts of Egyptians, appointment of a Christian

women as a confidant, subjugation of the country to disgraceful IMF conditions and the legalization of riba. The spectacular speed at which Morsi is unveiling his pro-American reforms, he will soon outstrip the ugly achievements of Mubarak's regime.

Oh Muslims of Egypt! You will never enjoy economic prosperity as long as you remain silent against the non-Islamic laws that are openly implemented and justified in the name of Islam.

Allah says:

"As for the one who disregards My message, he will have a miserable life, and we resurrect him, on the Day of resurrection, blind." [TMQ: Ta Ha: 124]

Oh Muslims of Egypt! Your only salvation lies in the absolute rejection of Morsi's regime and his pro-American reforms. To do this, you must redouble your efforts to re-establish the rightly guided Khilafah and immediately return the land of Qanana to its rightful state.

Only in the Khilafah state will you be able enjoy true economic prosperity through the use of money based on the gold standard, in the share of the public properties managed by the state on your behalf, and the protection of your ownership through Islamic contracts. Furthermore, for those of you who are unable to meet their basic needs of food, clothing and shelter, the state will guarantee these for you.

"O you who believe! answer (the call of) Allah and His Messenger when he calls you to that which gives you life; and know that Allah intervenes between man and his heart, and that to Him you shall be gathered." [TMQ: AL-Anfal:24]

Abu Hashem

The ‘Islamic Welfare State’ is another name for the Capitalist colonial economic model

The term ‘Islamic Welfare State’ is another attempt to sell Muslims the old idea of the Capitalist colonial economic model under yet another new name. Muslims should reject such dubious terms and insist on adhering to the Islamic sharia alone. The Islamic State is foremost an ideological state that requires a strong, robust and independent economy, and not an economy that is subservient to Western interests.

Western Capitalist countries have continued the colonial economic model that they had developed during the era of European world empires. This model is designed for the wholesale extraction of resources from colonial lands in order to transfer the wealth of these lands to the imperial powers. In the process, countries that were once rich and independent become severely impoverished and weakened enabling even firmer control by the imperialists. This model has a long history, going back to the initial European colonisation of the Americas. Later it was applied in the Muslim lands of Africa and Asia and continues to be applied today.

The British Empire did not rob India of its astonishing wealth by despatching gangs of thieves into individual houses. Instead, the British devised rules that would automatically result in the mass transfer of wealth from India to Britain, such as the monopoly on cotton. The British industrial revolution was primarily a textile revolution based on importing Indian cotton for UK textile mills and then re-exporting the finished cloth back to India. The people of India were prohibited from producing their own cloth and were forced to buy inferior quality British manufactured cloth at exorbitant rates. Prior to

British industrialisation, Mughal India had been the foremost industrial region of the world; the British Empire effectively de-industrialised India in order to achieve its own industrialisation.

After declaring nominal independence for their colonial lands, the Western powers continued to exert control through an indigenous agent ruling class within each newly-independent country. This ruling class has safeguarded the colonial model on behalf of its Western masters, calling for it under many different names. An early name for this model was the ‘export-oriented economy’. However, the terms ‘Asian tiger’, ‘Singapore model’ and ‘Scandinavian model’ have also been used. The latest name to be used for this model is the so-called ‘Islamic Welfare State’: according to this idea, the state would channel its foreign exchange earnings almost exclusively towards sectors such as health and education, and minimise expenditure on areas such as the military.

Principles of the Capitalist Colonial Model

The Capitalist colonial model is designed with the objective of depleting the target country of its wealth and resources for the advantage of the Western power that dominates it. There are three elements to this model:

- **Exploitation of resources and manpower:** The basis of this model is to utilise whatever resources and manpower is present in the country, either by physically transferring the resources to the West, or by employing resources and manpower to generate wealth that can be transferred to the West. Initially, for example, Western empires simply used to

‘Islamic Welfare State’ – a colonial economic model

transfer raw materials, using the local manpower to extract and transport these. Over time, manufacturing capacity was developed and local manpower trained and educated to process these resources so that more refined products could be exported to the West. Raw cotton, for example, is no longer exported to the West; finished cloth, or even finished garments, is sent instead.

- **Development of Systems and Infrastructure:** Many have been misled by the development programmes promoted by this model. The British built extensive canals and railways in India, for example. They also established a great many educational institutions. Governments today continue to maintain development budgets and push for increased educational spending. However, it is important to realise that all this development served the objective of the colonial economic model. Infrastructure development is necessary for the efficient exploitation of resources. And education is necessary to indoctrinate the local population with subservient colonial values and to prepare a professional class that can take charge of the numerous projects that are required for resource extraction and wealth transfer. The same is the case with other British legacies such as their legal system – all were intended to serve the imperial purpose, and continue to be used as such. However, development for economic independence is discouraged. For example, one can find a country full of local textile mills, but all the machinery in these mills is imported.
- **Import of Finished Goods:** With the economy skewed towards exports, the country is then heavily dependent on import for its remaining needs. Imports

typically comprise products requiring an advanced level of technology, which are expensive and require considerable expenditure of foreign exchange. This in turn pushes the country even further to increase its exports. Maintaining substantial imports also ensures that the economy remains dependent externally for its critical needs, and can be easily threatened with economic sanctions.

Following this model, over time, not only is wealth systematically transferred to Western countries, but the colonial country becomes more and more dependent on the world economy, unable to meet its own needs or to follow an independent course of action. The population is unaware of its basic weakness as it sees an improvement in systems and infrastructure, not realising that these are designed to serve the foreign master, and not the country’s own interests. It is well known that the successful parasite must keep the host alive if it is to continue to benefit from it.

The colonial model is presented to its victims in a palatable, packaged form: they are sold the benefits of an export-oriented economy that can earn foreign exchange for internal development and for importing Western goods. This is despite the fact that Western powers have themselves never adopted export alone as their primary economic objective. They always try to retain control of key strategic industries to maintain their independence, economic integrity and strength as great powers. The Western powers are not even willing to concede basic agriculture to other countries and instead pay billions to subsidise their own farmers, in the realisation that agriculture is also an essential strategic economic sector.

Islam requires real independence for the state

Putting the term ‘Islamic’ in front of ‘Welfare State’ does not make the Welfare State an ...

Continued on Page 22

Hizb ut-Tahrir's Campaign before the Egyptian Bar Association

Continuing the week's long campaign organized by Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilayah Egypt introducing the draft constitution of the Islamic State titled, "The Egyptian Constitution must be an Islamic Constitution." On Wednesday, 02 Dhul Hijjah 1433AH, October 17, 2012; HT Activists set up the mobile information tent in front of the Egyptian Bar Association prior to the scheduled seminar. They outlined and clarified the draft constitution of the Islamic State including the motives. They critiqued the draft of the Egyptian constitution.





Activists in the seminar held by the Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilayah Egypt in the Egyptian Bar Association outlined and clarified the draft constitution of the Islamic State including the motives. They critiqued the draft of the Egyptian constitution. The seminar took place Wednesday, 03 Dhul Hijjah 1433 AH, on October 17 2012.

Only our Khilafah will avenge RasulAllah (SAW) as he has a right to be avenged

On 11 September 2012, anti-American marches and protests erupted throughout the Muslim world, from Morocco to Bangladesh, from Egypt to Iraq, to avenge the insult of RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم in an American movie, with the Muslims of Yemen proclaiming "Our lives be sacrificed for you O RasulAllah."

As for the rulers of the Muslim World, who collectively command almost sixty million troops and have the vast resources of this Ummah, what was their response? Caught between two fires, the fire of blind slavery to America and the fire of Muslim anger against American arrogance and brutality, they adopted their usual weak kneed and gutless response. They abandoned the Muslims as they abandon the Muslims on every vital issue, whether it is securing the deen, the wealth, the lands or the lives of the Muslims.

As for the rulers of Pakistan, even though they command the world's seventh largest armed forces, experienced, brave and armed with nuclear weapons, what was their response? On 12 September 2012, Pakistan's foreign office spoke weak words when strong deeds were required to heal the hearts of the believers, declaring, "The government of Pakistan strongly condemns the airing of a defamatory video clip in the US maligning the revered and pious and pious personality of Prophet Muhammad PBUH." And the next day on 13 September, when Kayani summoned the heads of the Pakistan Army in the 153rd Corps Commanders meeting, this matter was not even of the agenda!

O Muslims of Pakistan!

RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم, Mercy to all Humankind, Master of all Prophets, said,

إِذَا لَمْ تَسْتَخِي فَاصْنَعْ مَا شِئْتَ

"If you have no shame then do what you wish" (Bukhari).

These rulers do not feel what we feel, they do not hold what we hold, they care not for what we care about. Their hearts and minds are one with our enemies, to expect any good from them is self-delusion. They move only to secure the interests of the kuffar and if we were to demand our right, they would only seek to calm our rage so that we do not disturb their masters. They use our resources against us by allying with our enemies day and night but will not lift a finger to avenge our beloved Muhammad صلى الله عليه وسلم. It is not the first time that these traitor rulers have horribly neglected their duty when the West, with America at its head, has attacked our noble RasulAllah. It is not the first time either that they have neglected their duty towards protecting other sanctities within Islam, such as the lives of Muslims. Indeed, even now America and the West are behind those who slaughter the women, children and elderly in Syria, just as they are behind the thugs in Iraq and Afghanistan. This is the real meaning of "peace" and "security" for these agent rulers. It is the shedding of Muslim blood in rivers so that the kuffar can establish "security" for their hegemony, with the rulers granting them "peace" by preventing any challenge to their hegemony. Evil are their deeds even though RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم said,

وَالَّذِي نَفْسِي بِيَدِهِ لَقَتْلُ مُؤْمِنٍ أَعْظَمُ عِنْدَ اللَّهِ مِنْ زَوَالِ الدُّنْيَا

"By the One in Whose Hand my soul lies, the killing of a single believer is greater to Allah on the Day of Judgment than the destruction of the entire world" [An-Nasaa'i].

Leaflet: Only our Khilafah will avenge RasulAllah (SAW) he has a right to be avenged

We will never see RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم avenged as he deserves to be avenged until we see the return of our Khilafah. Remember that even in the weakest period of our Khilafah the Uthmani Khilafah, it announced war against Britain and France, the major world powers at the time, for similar defamation. Voltaire's "Mahomet ou le fanatisme?" was staged as a play in Europe, defaming RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم. The Khaleefah, Abdul Hameed II, summoned the French ambassador and kept him waiting for hours. He then emerged in full battle dress, drew out his sword from its scabbard and placed it with its point towards the French ambassador as a declaration of war. Then without saying a single word and he sent him away. And when Britain displayed arrogance, he spoke in a language they would understand, threatening them with war by the entire Ummah. Accordingly, Britain and France abandoned their mischief.

We will never see RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم avenged as he deserves to be avenged until you have a righteous Khaleefah, ruling by Islam, as a commander for the armed forces. Only the Khaleefah will mobilize our armed forces to end all the American bases, consulates and embassies, expel all American intelligence, diplomatic and military officials and close the air and land supply lines to the American crusaders in Afghanistan. RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم said,

وَأِنَّمَا الْإِمَامُ جُنَّةٌ يُقَاتِلُ مِنْ وَرَائِهِ وَيُتَّقَى بِهِ

"The Imam is a shield, fighting is under his leadership and protection is by him."

We will never see RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم avenged as he deserves to be avenged until we establish this Khilafah. It is upon us all to work with Hizb ut-Tahrir and stand with it in its call to the armed forces to depose Kayani, Zardari and the other traitors within the military and political leadership and establish the Khilafah in their place.

O officers of Pakistan's armed forces!

Does it not make your blood boil that Kayani and the other traitors command you to lay your lives to save America's crusade and its occupation of Afghanistan, yet they do not command you to avenge RasulAllah (SAW)? سبحانه و تعالى Is the pledge you took before Allah to protect us, our deen and our lands, only so that you can be protectors of America and her agents in this country? Know that there would be no need for us to protest and march over the American degradation of our RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم, were you to respond to our call and end the American Raj by the Khilafah. Know that all that stands between the Ummah and delivering the appropriate blow to American arrogance are handfuls of traitors within the military and political leadership. It is upon you to remove these American slaves within hours and establish the Khilafah in their place by pledging the Nussrah to Hizb ut-Tahrir. Only then will you be led into battle by a Khaleefah who will implement Islam and protect it, unify the Muslims from Syria to Indonesia, Iran to Central Asia as the single most resourceful state in the world and raise the word of Allah as the highest.

Hizb ut-Tahrir calls for your response for the sake of Allah and His Messenger صلى الله عليه وسلم, so when will you respond?

يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا اسْتَجِيبُوا لِلَّهِ وَلِلرَّسُولِ إِذَا دَعَاكُمْ لِمَا يُحْيِيكُمْ وَاعْلَمُوا أَنَّ اللَّهَ يَحُولُ بَيْنَ الْمَرْءِ وَقَلْبِهِ وَأَنَّهُ إِلَهِهُ تُخْشَرُونَ

"O you who believe! Answer Allah and (His) Messenger when he (the Messenger) calls you to that which will give you life, and know that Allah comes between a person and his heart.

And verily to Him you shall (all) be gathered.)" (Surah Al-Anfal 8:24)

**Hizb ut-Tahrir
Wilayah Pakistan
13 September 2012
26 Shawwal 1433**

Hizb ut-Tahrir organized countrywide demonstrations against blasphemy of the Prophet

Hizb ut-Tahrir organized country wide demonstrations on the issue of Blasphemy against Prophet Muhammad and the shameful role played by Muslim rulers. People attended these demonstrations in large numbers. Demonstrators were holding banners and placards declaring “O Pakistan Armed Forces! Respond the Blasphemy against the Prophet , Close US Embassy & bases” and “People of Pakistan lovers of Rasul Allah, Rulers of Pakistan protectors of Blasphemers”. Speakers addressed the demonstrators and said that the West and its leader America have been continuously attacking Islam, Quran and Rasul Allah because they are encouraged by the inaction of the agent Muslims rulers imposed on Muslim countries. They said these Muslims rulers have not uttered a single word in condemnation of America but are vigorously moving government machinery and shooting and killing their own Muslim citizens who love Islam and its Prophet (s.a.w) in order to stop them from reaching American embassies which are espionage centres run under the guise of embassies. Speakers said that the shameful and condemnable attitude of the rulers has proved that whether they are democratic or dictator all of them are always ready to bow to their American masters. They said only the Khalifah of the Muslims will bring together two billion Muslims and six million Muslim armies under the shade of the Khalifah state and will mobilize and lead them in the battle field to avenge Rasul Allah (s.a.w) according to his stature. They said Prophet Muhammad (s.a.w) said “Indeed the Imam is a shield, from whose behind (one) would fight, and by whom one would protect oneself”, therefore if Muslims want to defend their religion, their Prophet (s.a.w), their book Quran and their Ummah then they have to reject democracy and dictatorship as both of them are used to implement Kuffr and also reject the leadership associated with democracy and dictatorship and must establish Khalifah. At the end demonstrators spread peacefully chanting slogans “ Answer the slandering, Expel American Ambassador” and “ End of American Raj, Khilafat-i-Rashdha”.

Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Pakistan



The Exclusion of Women from Iranian Universities, Demonstrates that Women's Educational Rights will only be safe in the Hands of a Sincere Islamic Leadership – the Khilafah

On 22nd September 2012, Al-Jazeera and various other media outlets reported that the decision by 36 universities across Iran to bar women from studying 77 academic subjects, with approval from the Iranian government came into effect. Graduate degrees included in this ban are engineering, accounting, chemistry, mathematics, computer science, and industrial management. The Science and Higher Education Minister Kamran Daneshjou gave his backing to the curtailing of female higher education by stating that a “balance” in the education system needed to be created, referring to the high female to male ratio in universities and in many fields of study. Reasons cited by others for the new gender exclusion policy include high female graduate unemployment in various fields, scarcity of good job.

Across the Muslim world, whether it be in Iran or Saudi Arabia whose governments parade themselves as so-called guardians of Islam, or in Turkey, Jordan, Pakistan, Indonesia or any state in the region, women are at the mercy of irrational leaders who are able to discard their Islamic rights at a whim, including the right to full access to higher education without discrimination. These are all states whose structure and legislation are based upon the dictates of parliament, clerics, or dictators, and not the Shariah. Consequently, even women's access to the institutions of the Islamic system that allow them to challenge, hold to account and overturn unjust un-Islamic policies such as the curtailing of their educational rights is absent under these oppressive regimes. They are therefore forced to simply swallow the injustice of their states, having been stripped of this Shariah legal right.

What a farce it is that the Iranian government hosted the ‘International Conference on Women and the Islamic Awakening’ in Tehran this July, falsely presenting itself as a supporter of those women who struggled to remove dictatorships in their lands in order that their basic rights be secured - while robbing women in its own backyard from their God-given rights. What a feeble excuse it is to claim that the curtailing of women's higher education is warranted due to high female graduate unemployment levels, scarcity of jobs or to “balance” the high female to male ratio of university entrants. The unacceptable level of unemployment in the country (20% of those under 30 being jobless), the disconnect between number of graduates – male and female – and the availability of good quality jobs, and the reluctance of many men to enter higher education leading to the ‘imbalance’ of female to male university students is a result of the Iranian system's weak non-Islamic economic policies that have failed to generate appropriate employment opportunities for graduates. It is the flawed basis of the Iranian economy that needs to be addressed, rather than excluding women from studying in particular fields that can only benefit the state.

As expected, opportunistic liberals hostile to Islam have seized upon this decision to blame the...

Continued on Page 59

Congratulations from Hizb-ut Tahrir on Eid al-Adha

Allahu Akbar... Allahu Akbar... Allahu Akbar... La Illaha illa Allah... Allahu Akbar... Allahu Akbar... Allahu Akbar ... wa Lillahi alHamd.

Praise be to Allah, prayer and peace be upon the Messenger of Allah and on his family, companions, and allies... and upon those who followed in his footsteps, and made the Islamic faith the basis for his thought and the divine rulings as the criterion for his actions and the source of his judgments...

O Muslims everywhere...

From the Central Media Office of Hizb-ut Tahrir, we are pleased to convey to the Ummah as a whole our congratulations on the occasion of Eid Al-Adha from the Amir of Hizb-ut Tahrir, the scholar Ata ibnu Khalil Abu Rashta (may Allah protect him). It also honors us to convey his congratulations to the young men and women of Hizb-ut Tahrir who struggle day and night to uphold the word of Allah by their efforts in the establishment of the Khilafah State as heralded by Allah's servant and Messenger, peace be upon him.

Last year, I prayed to Allah, the Everlasting and Self-Sufficient, to honour us with the establishment of the Rightly Guided Khilafah and we are now witnessing the fall of the Sham's tyrant.

We are witnessing the Sham's revolution, the plots of the Western countries day and night, especially by the United States and its complicity with the cut-throat tyrant of Damascus, in spilling precious blood in broad daylight using everything in its means for continuing the killing and destruction. This clearly indicates the paramount concern of Western leaders and their crony Muslim rulers that the outcome of the revolution in al-Sham will result in the Khilafah State.

Had the revolution in Syria yielded to America's dictate by accepting her plot, then the bloodshed would have stopped and America would have imposed another "Karzai" or "Tantawi" steering the revolution in America's direction (like the case in Egypt), and the thugs of the American named Syrian National Council, which is nothing but a product of the Turkish-American regime, would have entered the presidential palace in Damascus under the pseudo-title of "Guardians of the Revolution."

However, the revolutionaries of ash-Sham exposed this plot during last Friday, entitled "America... Will You Never Tire of your Lust for Our Blood?" Such is their keen awareness of America's plots.

As we congratulate the entire Ummah on the blessed occasion of Eid al-Adha, we take this opportunity to remind all Muslims of their duty, and foremost amongst them the leaders and officers of the armies and those of power with the ability to bring about change. We remind them of the divine duty to support their brothers and their families in Syria which the Prophet al-Mustafa described as the heart of Dar al-Islam. And we bring good tidings to our people in ash-Sham that Allah (swt) has promised victory, but they will purify their sacrifices in the way of seeking Allah's pleasure with one of two great blessings: victory or martyrdom.

As I convey to you and the Muslim Ummah congratulations from the Central Media Office of Hizb-ut Tahrir and all its activists, I pray to Allah Almighty that the following Eid; the Islamic Ummah

Press Release: Eid message from Hizb ut-Tahrir

will be living under the banner of the Islamic State. And that they will have united and triumphed, Inshallah, and become centre stage. Indeed Allah the Glorified is able to ensure it.

O Allah! Yaa Hay yaa Qayyum, yaa Hanan Yaa Mannan. O You who raised the heavens without any pillars, honour us with the Khilafah State. Honour us with your blessed support against your enemies, against the butcher of Damascus and his followers and support those who fight in Jihad in Your path everywhere and empower them to uphold your word and support your Deen.

We would like to draw to your attention that a special broadcast has been arranged on the occasion of this Holy Feast starting Friday, the first day of Eid al-Adha.

We pray that every year you are well and that Allah accept your acts of obedience. May peace and Allah's mercy and blessings be with you. "Glory is yours and all praise we testify that there is none worthy of worship but You, we seek your forgiveness and turn in repentance to you."

The night of Eid al-Adha in the year of one thousand, four hundred and thirty-three Hijri.

Osman Bakhach
Director of Central Media Office
Hizb-ut Tahrir

Newcomer Afghan Democrats, Displaying Skills

Afghan adherents and supporters of democracy, still considered to be newcomers, have once again played their dirty trick on the people of Afghanistan, making a mockery of the will of people. These traitors have made it clear crystal that the will of the people of Afghanistan is worth nothing to them. They will do whatever their own interest would dictate to them.

On the other hand, the government of Afghanistan made it clear that all the three powers of the state function in line with the interests of the United States. America launches attacks from the Pakistani side of the border on Afghan territories to provoke national sentiments of the people of Afghanistan against their Pakistani brothers with the purpose of winning Afghan support for US presence in the country.

The People of Afghanistan have fallen to this political game. Therefore, they expected serious reaction from the government of Afghanistan against the so called "Pakistan aggression". It is in this context that the Parliament of Afghanistan played their treacherous role to alleviate public sentiments. They summoned security sector ministers (minister of defense and minister of internal affairs) according to a pre-designed plan and dismissed them by vote of no confidence.

The Afghan government's recent measure to reshuffle the same ministers within the security sector confirms our assertion. The ousted minister of defense has been appointed as senior advisor to the president of Afghanistan on defense affairs, while the ousted minister of internal affairs have been nominated as the minister of defense.

Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Afghanistan

United Nations Organization: A colonial tool in an Imperial age

Formation of United Nations Organization is hailed as a mega success by the advocates of Capitalist world order. These western scholars continue to argue that UNO is the finest invent of the twenty first century and have labelled this organization as the 'Parliament of Man'.

This article would expose the intellectual fallacies of the United Nations, deliberate upon the 'finest' that capitalist world order has to offer, trace the colonial genes of UNO, evaluate the monopolistic structure of this organization, and unveil the mechanics of notorious tools of UN with some pertinent case studies.

Background

Before expanding on the United Nations Organization, it is pertinent to correctly understand the context of its formation. As UNO is an international organization that aims at codifying International Law for universal application, it is important to frequent the phenomena of 'International Law' that the organization aspires to organize and encourage.

Frequenting International law:

By mid-17th century, Ottoman State had swept through Greece, Romania, Albania, Yugoslavia, Hungary and Austria, stopping at the gates of Vienna. It was commonly held among the Christians that the Islamic Army was undefeatable, and the Muslims care less for death when engaged in war because they believe that they will enter paradise if killed, that they believe in Qadr and that each has a life span that none will go beyond (Ajal). This domino effect and the crumbling of European lands at the hands of Muslim fighters injected great fear at the hearts of remaining major European powers.

And it was during the 16th century, European countries started to gather into one family, with an aim to devise a united front in the face of Islamic State. This resulted in them formulating rule which they agreed upon to organize relations among themselves. This was the starting point for what was later called the International Law. In 1648, Christian European states held a conference in Westphalia. The concept of 'sovereign' state system arose from this conference and Treaty of Westphalia established the traditional basis for the so-called international law, though it was not an international law for all states, rather it was an international law for the Christian States exclusively. This platform proved to be the launching pad for rules of international law. From amongst these rules, emerged two prominent and dangerous ideas; first was the idea of international balance of power and the second was the idea of international conventions. As it was a consensus reached by Christian states of Europe, these two ideas were plucked from the 'European' jargon. In other words, practical manifestation of advocating these two ideas led to the maintaining of a world order where 'international balance' exists as per the interests of European States and 'international conventions' were adopted to preserve and further the influence of these states. This is the origin of international law, it is what gave the superpowers the pretext to intervene and control other states. Since then, international rules and regulations have been modified with the passage of time. This modification has taken place to give larger legitimacy to the phenomenon of international law, and to make it more effective and efficient to further the interests of the dominant Western Powers. In other words, the more effective and efficient modification of

‘international law’, the better legal cover there is for the Colonial States. This modification of international relations changed the idea of international conventions to an international organization that is geared to keep international security. And once the Second World War ended, the superpowers viewed the creation of an international organisation as the best way to organise their relations with each other. In the beginning, they formed the organisation from those who participated in the war, they then expanded it into an international organisation, open for all the states of the world. They organised international relations according to this organisation’s convention. With this, international relations evolved from a convention of the superpowers that controlled the world in order to distribute the spoils amongst themselves and to prevent emergence of any new superpower, to an international organisation that organises relations amongst themselves and guarantees their domination. In addition, it evolved into an international organisation that acts as a global state, organising the affairs of the states of the world and controlling them.

Build up to United Nations Organization

Writers generally go back to the formation of League of Nations that served as an inspiration for creating UNO. Though LoN (1919) is in reality a precursor to UN, and it also was a tool used by the leading powers to make colonies and overlook the division of Muslim World, UNO in essence precedes informal Concert of Europe (1815-1914) that was created with a primary aim to isolate and weaken Ottoman Caliphate. Concert of Europe was a collection of five European heavy weights, France, Russia, UK, Prussia and Austria, to define areas of influence, to balance power and further weaken Istanbul. In other words, it was a system of ‘alliance of five thugs’ to preserve status-quo of that time at their advantage. Essentially this informal Concert was an extension of the concept of Christian Confederate States that emerged in 16th century to weaken the Islamic State, as

already discussed above. Later this community started to propagate a wider role by describing itself as an ‘international’ community and worked to perpetuate this international description upon it. The international affairs and problems were considered as those which concern this community.

United Nations has a similar origin to that of the ‘Alliance of Five Thugs’, i.e. a) to preserve the status-quo of power division, and b) to ensure that no formidable power arises that challenges its legitimacy. In fact, the 5th principle of UN Charter as provided in Article 2 (5) in conjunction with article 25 of Charter obliges ALL member states to provide every assistance to UN in any action it takes and refrain from providing assistance to a state against which UN is taking action. The membership of the UN was at first restricted to the states which entered the war against Germany. However, because the Western bloc led by the Imperial America wanted to spread its sphere of influence, the membership of UN was extended to other states later.

Structure of United Nations

This portion would highlight the ‘hail-able’ nature of UNO that Paul Kennedy has called the ‘Parliament of Man’. In fact, it is a good litmus test to examine, as they claim, the finest creature engineered under the supervision of both reds and the capitalists.

United Nations is a body established in 1945 by the victor states of Second World War. The central paradox, as is the case with every international organization, is that since UN was created by the victorious member states, these states viewed this world organization as a corporation and acted like shareholders with an aim to maintain and maximize individual dividends of P5, in this case power, at the expense of other states.

There are Six principal organs of UNO as highlighted in Article 7 of the Charter. They are; General Assembly, Security Council, Secretariat, International Court of Justice,

Trustee Ship Council and Economic and Social Council. Two pivotal organs that are charged with adopting resolutions, i.e. General Assembly and Security Council will be elaborated in this article.

UNO defines the function of General Assembly as: 'The General Assembly is the main deliberative organ of the United Nations. It is composed of representatives of all member states, each of which has one vote. Decisions on important questions, such as those on peace and security, admission of new members and budgetary matters, require a two-thirds majority. Decisions on other questions are reached by a simple majority. These decisions may be adopted without a vote, or with a vote, which may be recorded, non-recorded or by roll-call' And here comes the sting in the tail, the text continues, 'While the decisions of the Assembly have no legally binding force for Governments, they carry the weight of world opinion on major international issues, as well as the moral authority of the world community.' In other words, presumably the 'most' universal body of the world has no legal value of any resolutions it may pass. The views of 176 out of 192 members of the United Nations who are part of the General assembly carry absolutely "no legal binding force for governments". Still there are sycophants who, by merely citing the seat count at General Assembly, are deluded into thinking that UN is a 'legitimate' body representing all the inhabitants of the earth. The inherent fallacy in UN framework as a representative body is evident that even if somehow the two third of the world joins hand on this platform and stand on one side of the reeling and a group of 5, or possibly any single one among the 5 (Security Council permanent members) stands on the other side, still there is no legal ground to compel the UN to entertain almost unanimous wishes of the mankind. General Assembly in practice is no more than a 'Talk-shop'.

To illustrate this fact further consider the example as how the UK treated UN General Assembly resolution 1810 adopted on 17/12/1962 setting up the 'UN committee on Decolonisation' which consisted of 24 primarily third world countries. Britain withdrew from the committee on 11/01/1971 stating, "In this famous case of resolution 1810, the UK did not accept the right of the United Nations to interfere in the administration of territories [i.e. colonies] for which the UK was responsible". Britain hence refused to co-operate with this UN resolution and blocked all attempts of the committee to visit British colonies in Seychelles and St. Helena.

The real deal in the UN structure is the 'Security Council' that is charged with passing and enforcing resolutions. The real power is vested in SC as its resolutions are binding on all member states as stated in Chapter VI and Chapter VII of Charter. The Council has a total of 15 members, 5 permanent (US, Russia, UK, China and France) with veto powers and 10 non-permanent powers that are elected for 2 years term. It is within the mandate of SC to 'take military action against an aggressor' and 'to call on members to apply economic sanctions and other measures not involving the use of force to prevent or stop aggression.'

It means the Charter prepared by the American Senators, Russian Stalins, Churchills of Britain and les François have stored all of the power to abuse, military action, illegal occupation, virtual colonialization in 5 exclusive-club (Permanent members).

What is to stop the US from invading another sovereign country, legitimizing its actions by providing UN cover and then using its veto to block any resolution forwarded containing denouncement for US belligerence? In fact in 1989, US has just done that by vetoing a Security Council resolution condemning her own belligerence. Moreover, US has

exclusively vetoed 23 resolutions tabled between 1983 and 1990. Robin Theurkauf, Visiting Fellow at Yale University and wife of one of the September 11 victims, correctly stated that, 'We in the US like international law, specifically we like other nations to obey. However it is the height of hypocrisy to demand that others live up to their obligations while we aggressively reject the notion that we should submit to a legitimate international system of laws as part of a community of nations'

Furthermore, traces of old colonial 'Spheres of influence' doctrine can be traced in chapter VIII on 'Regional Arrangements' provisions for Security Council. First reason for incorporating these provisions was because of Churchill's strong feelings that regional groups should be led by one of the Great Powers, an idea sanctioned by Americans like Cordell Hull. Second reason (expressed in article 53 and 54) was the Soviet, British, and the French desire to be able to act collectively and swiftly 'against renewal of aggressive policy' on part of 'enemy states' (a reference to Germany and Japan).

To sum up, under the present UN Charter, decision of these 5 permanent members of 'Security Council' as what to do, or not to do, and upon what they agree to, or veto, lies the fate of other states.

'Security Council', in reality, is a 'Mayhem Council' and a mere glance at the structure of this body would tell a naked eye that UNO is no more than a tool used by imperialists to legitimize neo-colonialism.

Intellectual bankruptcy of United Nations

Since the United Nations Organization, in practice, has set the goal to become a global policeman in the pretext of collective security (article 51), this goal is fundamentally at odds with the Westphalian concept of state sovereignty.

The universal government of men envisaged by Immanuel Kant (Perpetual peace), John

Locke (Universal freedoms) and Rousseau (natural morals and Social Contract Theory) if compared with UN depicts, what is cherished by the liberalists. Though UN might have the universal mandate but it is void of any enforcement mechanism.

The idea of universal peace is coupled with the doctrine of liberal intervention, which is a paradox hard to grasp even by western standards.

The motto of 'no use of force' incorporated in article 2 (legacy from Kellogg-Briand pact) forms the fourth principle of UN Charter. Yet exceptions of pre-emptive wars, humanitarian interventions and any Security Council authorized military adventures provisions are incorporated in the Charter (Chapter VII).

United Nations and the Muslim World

"And when the believers saw AL-Ahzab (the alliance), they said: 'This is what Allah and His Messenger had promised us, and Allah and His Messenger had spoken the truth, and it only added to their Iman and to their obedience to Allah "[TMQ Al-Ahzab 33:22]

Allah (SWT) in this ayah of Qur'an tells us about the Ahzab, the alliance formed by the Kafir against the Prophet (SAW) and the believers. This alliance plotted and planned against the Muslims, however the Muslims were able to overcome the alliance and eventually bring the whole of Arabia under the justice and rule of Islam.

Today many forces and alliances gather against the Muslim Ummah, these alliances are formed and dominated by the leading nation in the world, America under the guise of the UN. It is not hidden from any understanding eye that United Nations is a platform used as a tool by the Capitalist boat spearheaded by America to subjugate the Muslim Ummah. UN role in establishment of Israel, covertly endorsing Indian atrocities in held-Kashmir, sanctioning and overlooking horrific developments in Kosovo and Bosnia, the killing of over 600,000 Muslim children of

Khurram Qureshi: UNO – a colonial tool in an Imperial age

Iraq via 'oil for food' programme, authorising invasion of Afghanistan, providing consent to the takeover of Baghdad, continuous lip service against Israeli atrocities on Palestine, and the vocal role played in stirring up separatist sentiment in Sudan are just among the few examples to establish the case.

Britain used United Nations to legitimize the creation of Israel in the heart of the Muslim world by slaying thousands of innocent lives and looting Muslims off their land. UN General Assembly Resolution 181 (Partition Plan) was passed on 29th November, 1947 that granted Israel recognition. Since then, Israeli atrocities have been increasing in intensity by each passing day, with UN as a silent spectator and their so called human-rights champions are not seen in the protesting camp but in the cheering one.

Case of Bosnia:

The Bosnian crisis of 1990s is yet another eye opener to gauge the hatred filled in the hearts of Kuffar and manifested in the actions of UN. The UN placed an arms embargo over Bosnia leaving the aggressor Serbs (backed by Serbia) with tanks, heavy artillery, mortars and ammunition and the Muslims with mere machineguns. And during the subsequent massacres of Muslims, the UN set up "safe havens" in an attempt to appease world opinion by demanding the lifting of sanctions to allow the Muslims to defend themselves. In one such UN "safe haven" the UN French General famously reassured "You are now under the protection of the UN forces", the few guns the Muslims did have, were taken away from the Muslims by the UN. What was the result? Serbs came and bombed, shelled, overran the enclave, "peace keepers" did nothing, mass gang rape ensued, at least 8,000 Muslims were massacred and mutilated. David Rohde in his account states that "Serb captors at one point complained that they were not getting a good choice of the Muslim women from Srebrenica". All of this was happening right under the nose of UN, and instead of stopping such atrocities, UN was

colluding with the Kuffar on the onslaught against Muslims.

And with such overwhelming evidence proving the United Nation's accessory to murder and abuse, the Secretary General Kofi Annan, in a cheap PR stunt, was forced to 'apologize' for the behavior of the UN stating that the UN "gave the Security Council the impression that the situation was under control. ... The day before Srebrenica fell, we reported that the Serbs were not attacking, when they were. We reported that the Bosnians had fired on UNPROFOR blocking positions, when it was the Serbs. We failed to mention urgent requests for airpower."

So the colonialists disarmed the Muslims in return for guaranteeing protection for their security, sipped champagne with the murderers, handed over Muslim women and children for execution, supplied fuel to the Serbs to drive the trucks which shipped the Muslims to their mass-graves, wrote letters advising against the use of air strikes against the Serbs and then offered apologies later on as if it were a moments rash decision!

Treachery of the Muslim rulers:

Allah (SWT) says in the Qur'an,

"Have you seen those who pretend to believe in what has been revealed to you and what has been revealed before you, how they go in their judgement to the Taghut, though they have been ordered to disbelieve in it. But Shaytan's wish is to lead them astray" [TMQ 4: 60]

Today the hard reality is that, Muslim rulers are the pawns of the West and they have colluded with the Kuffar against the Ummah. While even a naked eye can observe that UN organization is flagrantly biased and has double standards that has caused all sorts of strives for the Muslim World, Why do then these rulers abide by such resolutions? It is their sheer cowardice and the taste of humiliation which they have grown accustomed to.

Khurram Qureshi: UNO – a colonial tool in an Imperial age

How did the Muslim rulers respond when America threatened to strike Iraq when Baghdad refused to allow the UNSCOM inspectors? The response of Muslim rulers was one of defeat and subservience. Mubarak of Egypt stated: 'If Iraq does not implement Security Council resolutions, there will be a massacre'. Prince of Jordan, Hassan stated: 'Iraqi oil supplies are our only source at the present time. To talk about building infrastructure for new pipelines from other countries is going to take a lot of time and cost of money' and President Demirel of Turkey's apocalyptic message to the Muslims of Iraq was: 'The thunderstorm is coming. You must comply with the decisions of the UN'.

Moreover, on March 31, 1992, the Security Council, inspired by the USA, and with the participation of Britain and France, issued resolution 748 in which it imposed various sanctions against Libya, which included an embargo on all international flights of the Libyan airlines and a total ban on all international airlines' flights to Libya and on using her airspace. The ban extended even to the sale of aircraft and spare parts to Libya and to the providing of engineering or maintenance work to the Libyan aircraft. The ban also included the supply of any weapons and their peripherals. The resolution called upon all member states to withdraw their officials and their agents who provide Libya with logistic and general military advice and expertise; it also exhorted all member states to considerably scale down their diplomatic representation in Libya. Finally, the resolution demanded from the Libyan government to unequivocally refrain from all acts of terrorism and from providing any assistance to terrorist groups. Furthermore, the Security Council declared that the resolution would come into effect from April 15, 1992, if Libya did not abide by resolution 731 adopted by the Security Council and dictated by the USA with the backing of Britain and France on January 21, 1992, in which the Council ordered Libya to hand over the two intelligence officers accused of plotting to

blow up the American aircraft Pan Am over Lockerby in Scotland in 1988 and the French aircraft UTA in 1989 over the desert of Niger.

Resolution 731 had no precedent in the history of the Security Council, even by Western standards it was considered as a flagrant violation of International Law and international conventions which were authored by the Western states; it was also deemed as being in a serious breach of human rights and in total contradiction to the right of sovereignty of member states. As for resolution 748, its 14 articles completely disregarded all the international rights and obligations which member states had adhered to prior to April 15, 1992, even cancelled all these rights and obligations which member states had prior to April 15, 1992 adhered to, as mentioned in article 7 of the resolution. This was regarded as being in total violation of international law and human rights which America, Britain and France always claim to adhere to and safeguard.

This resolution had coerced all the states worldwide, even the non-members, as well as all the international organisations, to strictly abide by the principles adopted in the resolution and to fully implement all the clauses included in the articles 3 to 8, as mentioned in articles 3, 4 and 5. The resolution had warned that it would take stringent and severe measures against any state that does not abide by its principles and does not fully implement articles 3 to 8 of that resolution.

By inspiring the Security Council to issue these two resolutions against Libya, as well as the resolutions it issued against Iraq during the Gulf crisis, America had violated the international law, human rights and all the international charters and conventions, which America herself arrogantly and hypocritically brags about safeguarding and protecting. The Security Council and the various United Nations' agencies serve as the tool with which America violates these international laws,

charters and conventions as well as human rights. Therefore, it is as if the UN with its various agencies and notably the Security Council and the International Court of Justice, have turned into an agency within the American State Department, to which America would delegate all what is regarded as "dirty work", thus securing and providing the perfect legitimate cover for the total American hegemony, and safeguarding the American interests worldwide.

A potential replacement to the United Nations:

Work should be undertaken to abolish Security Council and the UN institutions and they should be replaced with a new organization that the super powers have no control or authority over and which does not act as a global state. The new organisation should be an international entity that removes injustice and helps those who are oppressed, and works to spread justice amongst mankind. Such goals would be achieved through the moral status it enjoys and the international public opinion that supports it, and respects and trusts it for it would be an organisation that serves the interests of no particular state or states, but serves all mankind. This would be similar to the covenant of Fudul (favours) held prior to the mission of Muhammad (SAW) and attended by Allah's Messenger before he became a Messenger (SAW). After he (SAW) became a Messenger, he said about it: I witnessed a covenant in the tribe of Abdullah b. Jadaan that I liked more than the red (expensive) camels. If I were invited to it after Islam, I would have accepted it. This organisation would serve as a platform to present creeds and ideas pertaining to life for discussions where the true idea from them should be taken as the thought and creed of the world.

A leap forward to a brighter future:

To conclude it had become evident that every word of UN charter is written by colonial pen with the ink soaked in imperial jar and carries Machiavellian signatures all over it.

Also It is obvious that the current status-quo of power ensured and preserved by the United Nations, with America being the central chess-piece, is in fact the real threat to present times and even more so to future age. And it is bamboozling to still hear some fringe elements calling for 'effective' role of UN to solve disputes. As established in the article, UN is a colonial tool of the Imperial powers to achieve their notorious objectives. It is an organization stemming colonialism, hegemony, domination, humiliation and a platform where the leading Nations gang up seeking both, there individual and collective benefit.

In the wake of repeated cover-ups provided by UN, Washington has turned into a ferocious beast that requires immediate taming in. Atrocities committed under the guise of international organizations by the Capitalist West have no comparison with what their forefathers had done in the past, nor what the Tatar had done.

Today, world is in a dire need of the Islamic Khilfah state that will finish off enslaving institutions like the UN, and liberate the Muslims in particular and mankind in general from the clutches of the disbelieving Capitalists.

Already the tide has turned in the favour of Islam, by Allah's will, and the rotten UN Organization stands exposed. Moreover, America's hegemony cannot be regarded as an irreversible fate and her supremacy shall not last forever, in fact it already has started to fade away with lighting speed, for her supremacy carries in itself the elements of its destruction. With economic storms at home, military setbacks abroad, diminishing international political clout and drenched in moral dilemma, America is hanging on a cliff and just needs a final push from a competent ideological state.

InshAllah it will be the Islamic Khilafah state...

Continued on Page 24

Pakistan's agreement with Serbia is another foreign policy blunder

Last week, Pakistan's Federal Minister of Defense Syed Naveed Qamar met with top leaders in Serbia's House of Guards to lay the frame work for an MOU between the armed forces of the two countries. The proposed military agreement with Serbia is to provide Pakistan's armed forces with ammunition, armoured vehicles and assault rifles amongst other weapons. Notwithstanding the cementing of defense ties, Qamar also proposed the idea of Serbia to establish an embassy in Islamabad and engage with Pakistan through a Preferential Trade Agreement (PTA). The announcement is yet another example of how Pakistan's ruling establishment is determined to embrace enemies of Islam, as it once again muddles through another foreign policy blunder.

It is no secret that Pakistan's embracement of America's war on terror—in truth a vicious crusade against Islam—has prompted the Pakistani ruling elite to make several overtures of friendship towards enemies of Islam, and when appropriate grant concessions to them that greatly undermines the country's integrity and stability. Some of the highlights of this appalling foreign policy are: an American installed government in Afghanistan that is ferociously hostile to Pakistan, capitulation over Kashmir to please India, gestures to recognize Israel, silence over Myanmar's treatment of Rohingya Muslims, preferred ignorance over the plight of Muslims in the Caucasus in return for closer ties with Russia, and now embracement of Serbia—the butcher of Bosnia and the author of Kosovo's killing fields. What is next we ask?

By surrounding themselves with such a distinguished company of warmongers and bigots, it is not surprising that Muslims

residing in the affected areas show any affinity at all towards Pakistan's leaders. Palestinians, Kashmiris, Chechnyans, Rohingyas, Bosnians and Kosovans have every right to be upset with Pakistan's establishment. In some ways, the oppression of these Muslims is a result of Pakistan's foreign policy relations with America, which has accentuated their predicament after September 2001.

The same can be said for the repression of Muslims inside Pakistan. Pakistan's continuous subservience to Washington has enabled America to dominate Pakistan's domestic scene, and foreign relations. America's reluctance to withhold certain arms and technology from the Pakistani army, while simultaneously demanding actions against militants in North Waziristan has spurred Pakistan's elite to explore relations with Serbia. Belgrade was virtually shunned by Islamabad, until 2009 Pakistani Prime Minister Gilani stated that Pakistan considered Serbia a very important country and described relations between the two countries as warm and friendly.

The gesture coincided with America's public chastisement of Pakistan's leadership to fully commit to military operation against the militants in the tribal areas.

Thereafter, Pakistan worked with Serbia to form cordial relations, despite the horrific crimes perpetrated against Bosnian and Kosovo Muslims. In the mid-nineties the Pakistan army was deployed in Bosnia to protect its populace from the marauding Serbian hordes. And now seventeen years later, the Pakistani army wants to befriend a country that still harbours ill will against Muslims. Serbia's vehement opposition to

Abu Hashim: Pakistan's agreement with Serbia is another foreign policy blunder

Kosovo's independence epitomizes this deep seated hatred. The arrest and trial of war criminals Karadzic, Mladic, and Hadzic should serve as a painful reminder of Serbia's past atrocities.

It is all too obvious that Pakistan's leadership is not motivated by bonds of brotherhood founded on Islam to protect the Muslims of Bosnia, Kosovo and elsewhere. However, the leadership prides in the vision of Mohammed Ali Jinnah who is supposedly held in high regard by them. Jinnah said, "We believe in the principle of honesty and fair play in national and international dealings and are prepared to make our utmost contribution to the promotion of peace and prosperity among the nations of the world. Pakistan will never be found lacking in extending its material and moral support to the oppressed and suppressed peoples of the world..." So why is the material support not being extended to Palestinians, Kashmiris, Rohingyas and other Muslims who are being persecuted for their faith. Clearly then, Pakistan's elite is not motivated by Islam or "nationalism" then on what bases do they engage in foreign policy matters?

The answer is that both the civil and military leadership is bereft of any rational ideas that underpin Pakistan's foreign policy. The Pakistani elite follow a simple rule. Blindly submit to American stipulations or run around like headless chickens when America is displeased with them. This master and slave relationship has only one outcome and that is political suicide.

What Pakistan requires is a sound independent foreign policy that matches the aspiration of its people and is rooted in Islam. This can only be achieved through the re-establishment of the Caliphate, which will not only provide political independence, but also ensure that Pakistan integrity is fully protected.

Abu Hashim

Continued from Page 5

...an Islamic concept. The only Islamic State is the Khilafah that has been mentioned by the Prophet (s.a.w.), not some new concoction of Western political concepts. Islam requires that Muslims take charge of their own affairs, and not become victims of exploitation by others. The Khilafah State is charged with the welfare of its citizens, but only a strong independent state can truly provide welfare; a weak state that follows the colonial model cannot guarantee the welfare of its citizens.

Building a strong and truly independent state requires the establishment of a broad-based economy that is secure in all important strategic areas that are necessary for its strength and stability. The economy is focussed on the needs of the state, not the needs of the world economy. Infrastructure and systems development is essential, but takes place in accordance with the state's own objectives. Education should inculcate the Islamic values and the mentality of leadership and creativity, not the psychological complex of subservience and imitation. International trade is permitted but should not become an excuse to create critical dependence on others thereby fatally weakening the state.

Muslim lands today continue to be enslaved to Western Imperialist powers, even decades after so-called independence from them. Modern colonialism employs political, military, legislative, economic, financial, cultural and educational means to retain control, undertake resource exploitation, and impose agent rulers to supervise this exploitation on behalf of their masters. The solution to the problem of Western imperialism is the establishment amongst Muslims of an ideological state, which must be based on an ideology pre-existent within Muslims, i.e. the ideology of Islam. Only the Khilafah State will be able to rid the Muslim lands of the many tentacles of Western Imperialism, and indeed lead the entire world out of Western imperialism and exploitation.

The people of Pakistan have shown that they are no longer deceived by the propaganda of agent rulers

On 9th October 2012, a young girl Malala Yousafzai from Swat was attacked along with two other girls when they were going back to their homes, after attending their school in Swat valley. Immediately, TTP (Tehrik-e-Taliban Pakistan) claimed responsibility for this attack. After the incident, the Chief of Army Staff, General Ashfaq Pervez Kayani reacted to this attack in an unusual manner. Just after the attack, he went straight to visit injured Malala and said, "We refuse to bow before terror. We will fight regardless of the cost." He hurriedly called the meeting of the military leadership, discussed this incident and the need for operations in North Waziristan. Then Kayani met the President of Pakistan, Asif Ali Zardari, in order to take him on board as well. In the mean time the electronic and print media made this incident a headline story and gave very extensive coverage, to an extent that no other news got enough space to even appear as headline news. The government and its allied parties made a hue and cry and called for an immediate North Waziristan operation. All over the country, members of civil society and NGO's started demonstrations and streets were filled with banners praising Malala and condemning the attackers.

In the first two days after the attack, the atmosphere was as if every person in the country wanted an operation in North Waziristan. But as America intervened, with President Obama and Secretary of State Clinton showed their solidarity with Malala, people started to raise questions regarding this attack and the demand for the North Waziristan operation. The public opinion changed dramatically and so quickly, that the military establishment began to distance itself from the

demand of the operation in North Waziristan and through the Director General ISPR said that it is up to the political leadership to take a decision regarding this matter. And the government also changed its position and said that they have no plan to go in North Waziristan and that they are not finding excuses for the North Waziristan operation. Finally, in order to dispel the impression that rulers are working on the orders of their American masters, Special Envoy of America for Pakistan and Afghanistan, Marc Grossman, said on Saturday 20 during his recent visit to Pakistan that, "On particular question of North Waziristan offensive or any other question, that is the decision for the government of Pakistan and solely for the government of Pakistan."

In this incident, two major things appeared, Firstly, regarding the stature and influence of main stream media, the social media and the live interaction. In changing the public opinion so quickly, social media played a very important role to an extent that even well-known anchor persons on live shows admitted the fact that in spite of main stream media support to Malala incident, the social media overwhelmingly gave the other side of the story and the people are more trusting of the social media, then the main stream media. Moreover, the people had strong live interaction on the matter, almost every person would check the others opinion upon this matter and would correct any naivety. We can say now that the main stream media has lost the monopoly of making or shaping the public opinion in Pakistan. This is the price that was paid by the media because some of the media people chose to become mouthpieces of the government.

Press Release: The People of Pakistan can no longer be deceived

Secondly is the awareness of the people. The social media and the live discussions would not have been so effective, if the people had been naïve in matters. The basic level of awareness of the people has risen. This time, people did not buy the government and the main stream media story, as they did three years ago when a fabricated video was aired on media channels showing the flogging of a woman at the hands of the Swat valley Taliban and the agent rulers quickly capitalized the public opinion and started military operation in Swat. Even after Hizb's campaign against the Swat valley operation people generally were resistant to the Hizb's opinion, that America and the agent rulers' arrange such events to justify America's war. However, Hizb ut-Tahrir persisted in exposing the role of American private military organizations in organizing false flag attacks, blamed on the Muslims through shadowy claims. It added to the people's agenda the need to end America's war, close the supply lines to the American forces, close the embassies and bases as well as expelling all of its military and diplomatic personnel. It continued to discuss with the people and issue leaflets and press releases over many months. And this time and I would say first time in the history of dawah in Pakistan, people took the view of the Hizb, very rapidly, Alhamdulillah. People quickly realized that it is the same old tactic of agent rulers, with new characters, and they themselves established the evil nexus of America and agent rulers. Moreover, the discussion widened to talk about the presence of America within Pakistan. Also significantly, before this incident, people generally blamed the political government for the treacheries being made against Pakistan by General Kayani, who hid behind his confidante President Zardari, in order to maintain his standing within the armed forces so that he could implement the American agenda. His masters are anxious that he does not make the same mistake as Musharraf, who as both President and Army Chief became the subject of the anger of the armed forces before he was forced to step down. But now the opinion

within society, including within the armed forces, has widely accepted the view of the Hizb that the actual ruler of Pakistan is Kayani and he is the real and biggest traitor. Upon reading the latest leaflet from Hizb ut-Tahrir in Pakistan, many people expressed their anger at Kayani's persistent treachery immediately. So, the awareness of the Ummah has risen and they are no longer ready to be made fool of by the traitors conspiracies. Now it seems that the time is not far away when the traitors within military and political leadership will be thrown out and the people will look towards Hizb as their only and true leadership.

Shahzad Shaikh,
Deputy to the Spokesman of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Pakistan

Continued from Page 20

equipped with the intellectual brigade mighty army of lions of Islam with reckonable fire power that will hammer the last nail in the coffin of Capitalism. Upon creation of an Islamic State, it will withdraw from United Nations and also convince other countries to follow course. The majority of member states do perceive the injustice, the bias and the double standards in the UNO, they do sense the arrogation of power and monopoly, but they are too afraid to speak out and are unable to act, they are waiting for someone to bell the cat. The Islamic Khilafah will do so and the beads of the rosary will then break up Inshallah, and the oppressed countries will withdraw from the organisation as well.

Allah SWT say in Quran:

"Allah will never tolerate for the disbeliever's to have any Authority over the Believers" [TMQ 4: 141]

Khurram Qureshi

Tafsir Surah al-Nisa:58 - Fulfill your trusts

“...Verily Allah commands you to fulfill your trusts to those whom it is due....”

Surah al-Nisa': 58 [1]

Imam al-Baydawi writes the following about this verse in his commentary of the Qur'an:[2]

{ إِنَّ اللَّهَ يَأْمُرُكُمْ أَنْ تُؤَدُّوا الْأَمَانَاتِ إِلَىٰ أَهْلِهَا } خطاب يعم المكلفين والأمانات، وإن نزلت يوم الفتح في عثمان بن طلحة بن عبد الدار لما أغلق باب الكعبة، وأبى أن يدفع المفتاح ليدخل فيها رسول الله وقال: لو علمت أنه رسول الله لم أمنعه فلوى علي كرم الله وجهه يده وأخذه منه وفتح، فدخل رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم وصلى ركعتين فلما خرج سأله العباس رضي الله عنه أن يعطيه المفتاح ويجمع له السقاية والسدانة. فنزلت فأمره الله أن يرده إليه، فأمر علياً رضي الله عنه أن يرده ويعتذر إليه، وصار ذلك سبباً لإسلامه ونزل الوحي بأن السدانة في أولاده أبدأً { وَإِذَا حَكَمْتُمْ بَيْنَ النَّاسِ أَنْ تَحْكُمُوا بِالْعَدْلِ } أي وأن تحكموا بالإنصاف والسوية إذا قضيت بين من ينفذ عليه أمركم، أو يرضى بحكمكم ولأن الحكم وظيفة الولاة قيل الخطاب لهم. { إِنَّ اللَّهَ نِعَمًا يُعْظِكُمْ بِهِ } أي نعم شيئاً يعظكم به، أو نعم الشيء الذي يعظكم به فما منصوبة موصوفة بيعظكم به. أو مرفوعة موصولة به. والمخصوص بالمدح محذوف وهو المأمور به من أداء الأمانات والعدل في الحكومات. { إِنَّ اللَّهَ كَانَ سَمِيعًا بَصِيرًا } بأقوالكم وأحكامكم وما تفعلون في الأمانات..

“...this is a general communication of the legislator for those legally responsible before and related to all matters of the law [...] {and when you rule amongst the people do so with justice} meaning to rule with fairness and equality...ruling is linked to those in authority and it is said the communication is addressed to them...”

Notes

This verse along with others in this Surah are often regarded by scholars to be strong evidences for the imposition of a leader (ra'is) or 'Imam'[3] who must rule the common people with the laws of Allah (SWT) related to both their temporal affairs as well as their religious affairs. Several points are highlighted by the verse:

Point One:

1. Allah (SWT) has commanded the Muslims denoted here by the 2nd person (mukhtab) plural pronoun 'kum' which specifies the audience being addressed. Hence the command (amr) is singled out for Muslims; it is therefore they who must heed it. The other point is that ruling here is specific to a group of people from amongst the Muslims and not all people which are the rulers (al-umara') as mentioned by al-Tabari in his tafsir through a number of chains of transmission (al-isnad):

“Musa ibn ‘Abd al-Rahman al-Masruqi related to me that: Abu Usama related to us from Abu Makin from Zayd ibn Aslam who said this verse {Allah orders you to fulfill all the trusts to those whom it is due} came down regarding those in authority.

Abu Kurayb related to us that: Ibn Idris related to us that: Layth said to us from Shahr who said:

حدثني موسى بن عبد الرحمن المسروقي، قال: ثنا أبو أسامة، عن أبي مكين، عن زيد بن أسلم، قال: نزلت هذه الآية: { إِنَّ اللَّهَ يَأْمُرُكُمْ أَنْ تُؤَدُّوا الْأَمَانَاتِ إِلَىٰ أَهْلِهَا } في ولاة الأمر.

حدثنا أبو كريب، قال: ثنا ابن إدريس، قال: ثنا ليث، عن

this verse was revealed specifically regarding the rulers...

شهر، قال: نزلت في الأمراء خاصة { إِنَّ اللَّهَ يَأْمُرُكُمْ أَنْ تُؤَدُّوا الْأَمَانَاتِ إِلَىٰ أَهْلِهَا وَإِذَا حَكَمْتُمْ بَيْنَ النَّاسِ أَنْ تَحْكُمُوا بِالْعَدْلِ }.

Abu Kurayb related to us that: Ibn Idris related to us that: Isma'il from Mus'ab b. Sa'd who said: 'Ali (ra) said: 'words that hit the mark is that the Imam must rule with what Allah has revealed and to fulfill the trusts [to those whom it is for]. If he does that, then he has the right to be obeyed and listened to by the people as well as for them to respond if he needs them..' [4]

حدثنا أبو كريب، قال: ثنا إدريس، قال: ثنا إسماعيل، عن مصعب بن سعد، قال: قال علي رضي الله عنه: كلمات أصاب فيهن حق على الإمام أن يحكم بما أنزل الله، وأن يؤدى الأمانة، وإذا فعل ذلك فحق على الناس أن يسمعوا وأن يطيعوا وأن يجيبوا إذا دعوا...

Thus, the command to rule and have political authority is for none but the Muslims.

Point Two:

2. The command of Allah (SWT) is regarding fulfilling the “trusts” (amanat) of the people. This fulfillment must reside within every matter concerning the Muslims, in other words it is a general address (khitab ‘amm) which is indicated by the attachment of the definite article ‘al-’ to the plural noun (amanat) known grammatically as the ‘lam al-jins’.[5]

This responsibility of fulfilling the trust hence includes all matters as pertinently summarized by Imam al-Qurtubi in his Tafsir:

“al-Hafiz Abu Nu‘aym [al-Asbahani] mentioned in Hilyah [al-Awliya’]: and as for they (i.e. the companions) who said that the verse is in the general meaning (‘ammah) they are the majority such as al-Bara’ b. ‘Azab, ibn Mas‘ud, ibn ‘Abbas and Ubay b. Ka‘b who said: The trust is regarding every matter (kullu shay’) such as the Ablution, the Prayer, the Zakah, Sexual Intercourse (al-janabah), Fasting, Scales and Measurements (al-kayl wa ‘l-wuzn) as well as Deposits (wada‘i)....” [6]

ذكره أبو نعيم الحافظ في الحلية. وممن قال إن الآية عامة في الجميع البراء بن عازب وابن مسعود وابن عباس وأبي بن كعب قالوا: الأمانة في كل شيء في الوضوء والصلاة والزكاة والجنابة والصوم والكيل والوزن والودائع، وقال ابن عباس: لم يرخّص الله لمعسر ولا لموسر أن يمسك الأمانة.

Imam Ibn al-Jawzi is also emphatic about the general applicability of this verse stating:

“The third point is that the verse was revealed with general applicability which has been related from Ubayy Ibn Ka‘b, Ibn ‘Abbas, al-Hasan and Qatada. It was also preferred by al-Qadi Abu Ya‘la. Know that the occasion for the verse (sabab) being revealed does not restrict the general applicability of its ruling. It is general in all areas such as deposits and other things related to fulfilling the trusts...” [7]

والثالث: أنها نزلت عامة، وهو مروى عن أبي بن كعب، وابن عباس، والحسن، وقتادة، واختاره القاضي أبو يعلى. واعلم أن نزولها على سبب لا يمنع عموم حكمها، فإنها عامة في الودائع وغيرها من الأمانات...

Imam al-Razi in his monumental tafsir (‘commentary’) entitled Mafatih al-Ghayb confirms this same point:

“[...] he then commanded the believers in this

اعلم انه سبحانه لما شرح بعض أحوال الكفار وشرح وعيده عاد

verse to fulfill the trusts given to them in all affairs regardless of whether these matters are related to religious doctrines and opinions or worldly matters and communal relations...”[8]

إلى ذكر التكليف مرة أخرى، وأيضا لما حكي عن أهل الكتاب أنهم كتموا الحق حيث قالوا للذين كفروا: هؤلاء أهدى من الذين آمنوا سبيلا، أمر المؤمنين في هذه الآية بأداء الأمانات في جميع الأمور، سواء كانت تلك الأمور من باب المذاهب والديانات، أو من باب الدنيا والمعاملات، وأيضا لما ذكر في الآية السابقة الثواب العظيم للذين آمنوا وعملوا الصالحات، وكان من أجل الأعمال الصالحة الأمانة لا جرم أمر بها في هذه الآية...

Point Three:

3. Indeed, the command is given to all the Muslims but the complete and satisfactory fulfillment of these trusts cannot be realized unless a leader, ruler or Imam is in place to fully execute everything necessary to maintain these trusts which would require the requisite systems, structures and so on

This is what is termed in fiqh as the duty of ‘appointing an Imam’ (nasb al-imam). The reasoning is as follows: The fulfillment of all trusts and duties is an obligation which cannot be realised except with an Imam/khalifah (which would require the necessary systems, etc.) hence because of the principle: *ma la yatimmu ’l-wajib illa bihi fa huwa wajib* (‘that which is essential for the completion of a wajib itself becomes a wajib’) the imposition of a khalifah becomes an obligation (meaning the system, i.e. the khilafah). The latter becomes necessary to realize the former. The reason being is that the means and the end both fall under the original or same command/obligation (*asl al-wajib*) and do not constitute two separate commandment.[9]

Moreover, the command for those in authority to execute the Islamic rulings with justice is actually an implicit command to have them present otherwise the duty commanded to them would be superfluous.

Point Four:

4. The value of the command is said to be that of an obligation (*wujub*) because of two indications (*qara’in*): [1.] no other, group, faith or people have been discharged with this duty by Allah (SWT) except the Muslims who must execute it on behalf of the general people – Muslim or otherwise.[10] [2.] The other reason is that the duty to live by the laws and injunctions of Islam is an obligatory matter and not an optional matter and so if Allah commands the Muslims to follow something obligatory (and not optional) it indicates that the value of the command is an obligation.

Point Five:

5. Allah (SWT) warns that if and when the Muslims rule the people, they must do so with justice (*an tahrakumu bi ’l-’adl*). This command is connected to a condition (*yu’allaqu ma’a ’l-shart*)[11] and such a command entails it must be repeated or continual so long as that condition persists; and the condition mentioned in the verse is the existence of Muslim rule (*idha hakamtum*), thus Muslims must regulate the affairs of the people with full Justice, fairness and equity every time and whenever they rule. Imam al-Shawkani mentions this point:

“[...] and some such as the Hanafiyyah and Shafi’iyyah argued that the form which necessitates repetition [of a command] is that it has to be connected to a condition or an attribute...”[12]

“...when it connects to a condition or an attribute...”[13]

...ويحتمل انهم ارادوا ما ذهب اليه بعض الحنفية والشافعية من ان الصيغة المقتضية للتكرار هي المعلقة على شرط او صفة...

...اذا كان معلقا على شرط او صفة...

Point Six:

6. The word ‘adl (‘justice’) here in the verse refers to every judgment from the Book of Allah and the sunnah of the Messenger of Allah (saw). Imam al-Shawkhani states in his masterpiece on Usul al-Fiqh:

“The word ‘adl means any decision of the government based on the Book of Allah (Glorified is He) and the sunnah of His Messenger (saw) and not any judgment based purely on human opinion because that amounts to nothing of the truth. If however, there is no evidence found by that government directly from the Book of Allah or the sunnah of his Messenger then there is no problem if the ruler makes ijtiḥad so long as he is aware of the ruling of Allah (Glorified is He)...” [14]

The famous classical scholar of Baghdad Abu’l-Hasan ‘Ali ibn Muhammad al-Khazin in his commentary writes:

“Allah’s saying {and when you rule amongst the people do so with justice} meaning Allah has commanded you to rule by justice so it is a duty upon the ruler to give the right to those it is due and the root of justice is equality and fairness in all things and so anything that is removed from oppression and aggression is called justice...” [15]

Point Seven:

“And Allah’s saying {surely Allah admonishes you with what is excellent} meaning the excellent thing he is admonishing you about is fulfilling the trusts and ruling with justice {surely Allah is Seeing, Hearing} meaning He the Most High hears what you say and sees what you do so when you rule He hears the rulings you issue and when you fulfill the trusts that are due he sees your actions...” [16]

Not ruling by the the sacred Shari’ah as revealed by Allah through the Qur’an and the sunnah of His beloved does not go unnoticed. Allah is absolutely aware of whether those charged in authority amongst us are ruling with Islam or not. But this great bounty and excellence Allah admonishes the Muslims with has been unheeded and as a result utter powerlessness, humiliation, disunity and poverty has stricken the ummah. The only way to reverse this is work to revive that system – which is the just khilafah system – that would ensure not only will the entirety of the sacred laws of the Shari’ah be implemented but the trusts too in all affairs of life will be fulfilled and the rights to all citizens given where they are due.

And Allah knows best.

Abundant peace and blessings upon our Prophet, His family and all his Companions.

والعدل: هو فصل الحكومة على ما في كتاب الله سبحانه وسنة رسوله صلى الله عليه وسلم، لا الحكم بالرأي المجرد، فإن ذلك ليس من الحق في شيء، إلا إذا لم يوجد دليل تلك الحكومة في كتاب الله ولا في سنة رسوله، فلا بأس باجتهاد الرأي من الحاكم الذي يعلم بحكم الله سبحانه، وبما هو أقرب إلى الحق عند عدم وجود النص،

وقوله تعالى: (وإذا حكمتم بين الناس أن تحكموا بالعدل) يعني وإن الله يأمركم أن تحكموا بين الناس بالعدل فيجب على الحاكم أن يأخذ الحق ممن وجب عليه لمن وجب له وأصل العدل هو المساواة في الأشياء فكل ما خرج عن الظلم والاعتداء سمي عدلاً...

وقوله تعالى: { إن الله نعماً يعظكم به } أي نعم الشيء الذي يعظكم به وهو أداء الأمانات والحكم بالعدل { إن الله كان سمياً بصيراً } يعني أنه تعالى سميع لما تقولون وبصير بما تفعلون فإذا حكمتم فهو يسمع حكمكم وإذا أدبتم الأمانة فهو يبصر فعلكم.

[1] *This is considered to be one of the “mother verses” (ummahat al-ayat) related to the topic of Ahkam (‘rulings’) as described by Imam al-Qurtubi: “[...] this verse is one of the mother verses regarding Islamic rulings comprising all aspects of the religion and its law...” al-Jami’ li-Ahkam al-Qur’an, 1:911.*

[2] *See al-Baydawi, Anwar al-Tanzil, 1:220.*

[3] *The words ‘Imam’, ‘Amir’, ‘Sultan’, ‘Khalifah’ and ‘Ra’is’ are interchangeable in Arabic and many narrations from the Prophet (SAW) establish their synonymity.*

[4] *al-Tabari, Jami’ al-Bayan, 8:489-490.*

[5] *See Sharh ibn ‘Aqil ‘ala Alfiyyah ibn Malik, 1:162. So in Surah ‘Asr:2 where Allah says: (Verily man is in loss) and the term “man” (al-insan) includes all human beings; the letter ‘lam’ denoting the ‘complete inclusion of the genus’ (li-istighraq al-jins).*

[6] *al-Qurtubi, al-Jami’ li-Ahkam al-Qur’an, 1:911. The greater portion of the Mufasssirun are in agreement that the verse is general in its signification and thus includes all trusts and duties, see for example, ibn al-Jawzi, Zad al-Masir, p.294; al-Zamakhshari, al-Kashaf, 1:456; al-Razi, Mafatih al-Ghayb, 10:132-137; al-Nasafi, Madarik al-Tanzil, p.233; al-‘Izz ibn. ‘Abd al-Salam, Tafsir al-Qur’an, p.108; al-Baydawi, Anwar al-Tanzil, 1:220 as mentioned above where he writes that because the fact of ruling is connected to the office of the governors, the command in the verse is hence addressed to those in power (li-anna ‘l-hukm wazifah al-walat wa qila al-khitab lahum); al-Baghawi, Ma‘alim al-Tanzil, 1:443-444; al-Shawkani where he comments that although the verse was revealed regarding ‘Uthman b. Talhah: “what is considered is the general wording not the specificity of the cause” (al-i‘tibar bi-‘umum al-lafz la bi-khusus al-sabab), p.391 and Jamal al-Din al-Qasimi in Mahasin al-Ta’wil, 5:1331 also concurs. Moreover, See Abu Nu‘aym, Hilyah al-Awliya’.*

[7] *Ibn al-Jawzi, Zad al-Masir, p.294.*

[8] *al-Razi, Mafatih al-Ghayb, 10:132-137.*

[9] *See al-Zaydan, al-Wajiz fi Usul al-Fiqh, pp.299-300 for more on the principle.*

[10] *The general rule according to the majority of the scholars of Usul al-Fiqh is that a command (amr) retains the value of an obligation or an emphatic request unless indications (qara’in) demand otherwise, see al-Zaydan, al-Wajiz, p.294; al-Shawkani, Irshad al-Fuhul fi Tahqiq al-Haqq min ‘Ilm al-Usul, p.59; al-Ihkam fi Usul al-Ahkam of ibn Hazm, 3:263; and al-Musawwadah fi Usul al-Fiqh by ibn Taymiyyah, p.5.*

[11] *Similarly, a command must be repeated if it is connected to an ‘attribute’ (sifah) or ‘cause’ (sabab), e.g. Allah (SWT) says: Perform the prayer at the decline of the Sun [Bani Isra’il:18]. Thus, whenever the sun sets, the specified time of the prayer arrives and one must hence pray at that time, al-Shawkani, Irshad al-Fuhul, pp.98-99 & al-Zaydan, al-Wajiz, p.279.*

[12] *al-Shawkani, Irshad al-Fuhul, pp.98-99.*

[13] *al-Shawkani, Irshad al-Fuhul, p.100.*

[14] *al-Shawkani, Fath al-Qadir, 2:369.*

[15] *al-Khazin, Tafsir Lubab al-Ta’wil fi Ma‘ani al-Tanzil, p.549, comm. 4:58.*

[16] *al-Khazin, Tafsir Lubab al-Ta’wil fi Ma‘ani al-Tanzil, p.550, comm. 4:58.*

Pakistani Rulers are orchestrating a campaign of Hate under the guise of “Sectarianism” to justify their Participation in America’s “War on Terror”

On 30th August 2012, a District and Sessions Judge was killed in Quetta, which was termed by the media as a Sectarian killing. In recent months Pakistan has seen an increase in the frequency of attacks targeting Shia Muslims on the same lines as the attacks which hit the Iraqi Cities following the US invasion of Iraq in 2003. The “Sectarian Attacks” in Iraq gained international notoriety when local and international media reported how the hands of the “suicide bombers” were tied to the steering wheels of the vehicles they were supposed to explode to express their “sectarian” hate. Running out of excuses against a Pakistani public which has overwhelmingly and categorically rejected Pakistan’s participation in America’s War of Fitna, America and her loyal agents in Pakistan are orchestrating a wave of Sectarian Killings in Pakistan to convince the Pakistan public to support the US war on terror. Just as America orchestrated sectarian killings in Iraq to divert the attention of the Iraqi resistance to US occupation of Iraq and to confuse the Muslims of Iraq so that they cannot distinguish between the Muslims who are fighting the American crusaders and those who are killing innocent civilians, the Pakistani rulers advised by America who has experience in fueling sectarian fire, are fanning sectarian hatred in Pakistan so that the people cannot differentiate between those fighters who are fighting the American Crusaders in Afghanistan and those who kill innocent people. The script is known to all. As soon as the government plans to launch a military operation, the country is hit by a wave of bombing thanks to “Raymond Davis Networks” nurtured and protected by traitors in Pakistani political and military leadership, followed by phone calls from “Taliban” accepting responsibility for the attack. When this tactic failed miserably after the attack on Minhas Base in Kamra, the Pakistani rulers have stepped up a hate campaign aimed at garnering public support for launching operations against its own people in the tribal areas of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa hoping that they will use the people’s disgust at the killing of Shia Muslims, as public backing for these operations. And conveniently enough the country has suddenly seen a spike in incidents targeting Shia Muslims.

O You Wretched People! The Pakistani Rulers! The Islamic Aqeedah runs in the blood of the Muslims. Sectarianism is alien to the concept of unity within the Ummah. The Islamic bond transcends race, color ethnicity and these illegitimate boundaries which you preserve for your American masters. Did you not witness the unease of the Pakistani Muslims at the brutal massacre of Rohingya Muslims thousands of miles away? Did you not face the anger and wrath of these Muslims on your treachery against the Muslims of Afghanistan which continues to this day? So how can one even think that the people of this land will hold a grudge against their Shia Brothers? This Ummah is one nation to the exclusion of all others. Allah says in the Quran:

هُوَ سَمَّاكُمُ الْمُسْلِمِينَ مِنْ قَبْلُ وَفِي هَذَا لِيَكُونَ

“It is He (Allah) Who has named you Muslims both before and in this (the Qur'an)” [Al Hajj-78].

Continued on Page 44

Is There NO Limit for the Afghan Government's Political Duplicity?

Afghan Foreign Minister Zalmay Rassoul met with US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton to set up a new commission which will help steer future US-Afghan ties as American and NATO combat forces withdraw from Afghanistan by the end of 2014.

The United States appointed Deputy Envoy to Afghanistan and Pakistan, James Warlick, to lead the negotiations from its side. Afghan Ambassador to the US, Eklil Hakimi, will head the Afghan team. But on Thursday, 04/10/2012, the Afghan President Hamid Karzai said Washington is not prepared to defend Afghanistan against the Pakistani shelling despite the two countries having signed a long-term strategic cooperation agreement. "Based on the strategic cooperation agreement between the US and Afghanistan, whenever Afghanistan is threatened by any foreign country, the US will duly stand by the Afghan side defending its borders. But they (the US officials) said that the reports were not correct which were reported by Media and the Afghan security institutions" he added.

Yesterday, Zalmay Rassoul's statement in Washington on the one hand, and today Hamid Karzai's statements on the other, are strong proof of the fraudulent and hypocritical nature of the Afghan puppet government, as they condemn US silence against the Pakistan shelling, and claim that the US is not committed to the US-Afghan strategic agreement, while on the other hand they convene negotiations to sign a security agreement. If the US is not committed to the US-Afghanistan strategic agreement, what is the significance of this agreement? Then of course there is no need to sign the second agreement, unless to sell this part of the Islamic land once again. We should not forget that the Pakistani government itself is a puppet of the US and it is impossible that they even start a simple political move without the American consent. So with these shellings, the Pakistani puppet government is deceiving its own people as if they are against the US.

This is how Karzai is trying to deceive the Afghan people, showing off that he is against the United States of America. But as a matter of fact, he is more in favor of the US interests than those of the Afghan Muslims. Moreover, in contradiction with the Islamic Ahkam, he is trying to prolong the US hegemony in this part of the Islamic land by establishing permanent US military bases and signing the security agreement.

Therefore, the Muslim people of Afghanistan must understand the very depth of the sinister and satanic US policy, since the US has not withdrawn from the countries where it has occupied after the Second World War, justifying its existence under the strategic and mutual defense agreements. The Muslims and Mujahideen of Afghanistan should not trap themselves in the spider web like the Afghan government authorities' actions, because Allah SWT has declared such friendship with Kuffar as Haram and called it a very thin spider web.

((مَثَلُ الَّذِينَ اتَّخَذُوا مِنْ دُونِ اللَّهِ أَوْلِيَاءَ كَمَثَلِ الْعَنْكَبُوتِ اتَّخَذَتْ بَيْتًا وَإِنَّ أَوْهَنَ الْبُيُوتِ لَبَيْتُ الْعَنْكَبُوتِ لَوْ كَانُوا يَعْلَمُونَ))

The parable of those who take protectors other than Allah is that of the spider, who builds (to itself) a house; but truly the flimsiest of houses is the spider's house, if they but knew [Ankaboot: 41]

Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Wilayah Afghanistan

Hasina government's behaviour is as Muhammad (SAW) said, "If you have no shame, then do as you like."

After abducting the senior member of Hizb ut-Tahrir Professor Dr Syed Golam Mawla from the gate of jail on 19 September (2012) and keeping him in an unknown location for 6 days, the government produced him before the court on 25 September claiming that he was arrested the day before from Gulshan, Dhaka. The lying government claimed that Dr Golam Mawla was the planner behind the demonstration held by Hizb ut-Tahrir outside the US embassy on 24 September against the US film insulting the Prophet (SAW). Dr Golam Mawla was produced before the court with this false case on the same day the US ambassador Dan Mozena met the Home Minister conveying instructions to the government to punish the Muslims and members of Hizb ut-Tahrir for demonstrating against America for insulting the Prophet (SAW). This is another example of the lies and double standards of America who claimed that they condemn the film and have nothing against peaceful protests. As for the Hasina government its behaviour is as Muhammad (SAW) said, إِذَا لَمْ

تَسْتَحْيَ فَاصْنَعْ مَا شِئْتَ "If you have no shame, then do as you like." They flouted the rule of law which they claim to believe in and uphold, when they did not release Dr Golam Mawla in accordance with the Supreme Court's verdict. They flouted all standards of human rights which they claim to believe in and uphold, when they abducted Dr Golam Mawla and kept him in an unknown location without informing his family and producing him before a court within 24 hours. And now after all this, without displaying an iota of shame, this government which is immersed up to its neck in sins, commits this grave sin of lying by framing this false case against an honest, talented university teacher who is suffering from diabetes and spinal injury.

Hizb ut-Tahrir / Bangladesh wants the government to know that harassing Dr Golam Mawla by assuming that he is the leader of Hizb ut-Tahrir without whom the party will be deterred from its struggle is a pipe dream. This has not happened so far and it will not happen. Hizb ut-Tahrir is not a one man party like the Awami League. It is an ideological party where there is no shortage of leaders. Moreover the party's strength does not emanate from leadership of personalities, rather it emanates from the intellectual leadership of the Islamic ideology and the Help and Support of Allah (SWT), the Almighty. So release Dr Golam Mawla before the Almighty seizes you. إِنَّ اللَّهَ لَيُمْلِي

لِلظَّالِمِ حَتَّى إِذَا أَخَذَهُ لَمْ يَفْلِتْهُ "Verily, Allah gives respite to a wrongdoer until He seizes him and he cannot escape." [Bukhari and Muslim]

((وَلَا تَحْسَبَنَّ اللَّهَ غَافِلًا عَمَّا يَعْمَلُ الظَّالِمُونَ إِنَّمَا يُؤَخِّرُهُمْ لِيَوْمٍ تَشْخَصُ فِيهِ الْأَبْصَارُ))

Consider not that Allah is unaware of that which the Zalimun do, but He gives them respite up to a day when the eyes will stare in horror. [Surah Ibrahim: 42]

Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir

Wilayah Bangladesh

Open letter to the rulers of Iran, from Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilayah Pakistan

السلام على من اتبع الهدى

We send this letter to the rulers of Iran via the diplomatic mission of Iran in Pakistan.

We denounce the sending of Iranian troops to support the tyrant of Syria and American agent, Bashar al-Asad, against the Muslims of Syria. It has become clear that the Iranian forces, especially those from the Republican Guard, participated with the Shabiha thugs of Bashar al-Assad in the killing of Muslims in Syria. Some Iranian officers have already been captured by the Free Syrian Army and they were indeed involved in criminal acts against Muslims in Syria.

We warn you that it is no coincidence that the sending of Iranian troops coincides with a sudden surge in evil sectarian attacks within Pakistan, perpetrated by America's private armies of Raymond Davis's. America desires that Muslims point their weapons at each other rather than unify as a single state. The kuffar are as Allah سبحانه وتعالى described them, لا يرقبون في مؤمن إلا ولا ذمة وأولئك هم المعتدون "With regard to a believer, they respect not the ties, either of kinship or of covenant! It is they who are the transgressors" [Surah At-Tawba 9: 10]

And we account you: What is this war for which you are sending Muslim troops to? A war in which you support the Syrian tyrant who rules by Kufr and whose ruling family has secured the kuffar interests for over four decades. A war in which you fight against Muslims in Syria, who have risen against the tyrant Bashar so that Islam is implemented, such that all Muslims can unify as one state against America. How do you accept to further the American plan to engulf this Ummah in the fires of sectarian war, when it loses its mind as it sees the Khilafah about to arise?

We were sure that you will stand with the regime of Bashar al-Assad because he as an American agent just like you. Indeed, all the American agents are now working to establish America over the necks of Muslims and maintain the American hegemony over the Islamic Ummah, by preventing an Islamic state unifying the Ummah and decisively ending the dominance of your masters, America. Your support of the collapsing tyrant Bashar, you in the Iranian leadership, only adds to your betrayal to Allah ﷻ, RasulAllah ﷺ, the Imams of the Muslims and their common folk, for you previously betrayed Allah ﷻ, RasulAllah ﷺ, the Ummah and occupation of Afghanistan and Iraq.

If you were to choose- and this unlikely from people like you- to stand with the Muslims of Syria to succeed and unify Iran and Syria as one single Islamic state, they would strike a severe blow to American hegemony within the Middle East, Central and South Asia. Moreover, were the Muslims to take such a magnificent step it would decisively crush the poisonous seeds of sectarian division and pave the way for all other Muslim Lands, including neighbouring Pakistan, to follow in unification. But rather than stepping aside to make way for Islamic ruling in Iran, you persist in a betrayal of Allah ﷻ, RasulAllah ﷺ, the believers, by siding

Continued on Page 37

Letter to the Ambassador of Moroccan Embassy

To,
The ambassador of Moroccan embassy

We are sending this letter so that if any sanity is left in this regime they would consider their crimes and take steps to address them. Member of Hizb ut-Tahrir Thami Najim, 37 years old, married, father of four sons, software developer, was abducted from his home on 03/02/2012, then charged for conspiring against the Moroccan monarchy through violent means, with foreign funding. The articles cited were 201 and 206 of the Criminal Law, where 201 is concerning conspiracy against the monarchy through violent means and 206 is concerning foreign funding used to weaken the people's loyalty to the system. A court ruling in his case on 05/06/2012 found him innocent of charges related to article 201, whilst it found him guilty according to article 206 i.e. receiving foreign funding used to weaken the peoples loyalty to the system, thus sentencing him to ten months imprisonment. It is important to note that after six months of his arrest the Moroccan Minister for Justice and Freedom, Mustapha Ramed, acknowledged his status as political prisoner (Al-Masae newspaper 23/8/2012). After appeal court, seven months from arrest date, 11/09/2012, this sentence was raised to eighteen months imprisonment, even though Thami Najim presented all legal documentation regarding all money transfers mentioned in his case, thus proving without any doubt, that the money received are payments from legal Danish companies, transferred to him as payments for software development and programming.

Hizb ut-Tahrir is a well-known political party that denounces all violent actions as part of its method and your regime is also aware of it, and is devoted to political means as the only way to change the current mindset of the Muslim populations. Thus the trial and ruling in Thami Najim case is politically motivated without a shred of doubt.

We demand his immediate release and warn you that your continued subjugation of peaceful political activism will not increase the life of your brutal regime. If your government does not turn away from its current course soon this regime will be punished by the upcoming Khilafah. So take our advice and save yourself from the wrath of the Ummah in this world and of Allah Subhan a Watalla in the hereafter.

From the Media Office, Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilaya Pakistan

Kayani bows before America, no matter what the cost to our blood and honor

In the wake of a horrific attack on a fourteen year old Muslim girl, Malala Yusufzai, America was unable to contain its glee at what it regarded as an opportunity to promote her war in Pakistan's tribal areas. On 12 October 2012, Victoria Nuland, the US State Department's spokesperson, said, "So obviously, the degree to which the Pakistani people turn against them help their government to go after them. That would be, perhaps, a silver lining from this horrible tragedy." Moreover, knowing that Muslims are aware of America's role in cold-bloodedly arranging such opportunities for itself whenever it requires military operations, Nuland did not fail to add, "Will the country's most vocal politicians and intellectuals continue to pretend its terrorism problem is made in America?"

As for America's loyal and trusted agent, General Kayani, he mobilized rapidly to achieve the American interests. It was an opportunity Kayani did not miss in order to address the great reluctance of Pakistan's armed forces to engage in any serious operation against their Muslim brothers in North Waziristan. Indeed, it is these Muslims who have unsettled the American occupation of Afghanistan to an extent that it has become a major political embarrassment to US President Obama as he faces imminent elections. Accordingly, on 9 October 2012, General Kayani declared, "We refuse to bow before terror. We will fight regardless of the cost." Then Kayani hurriedly gathered the military leadership in a meeting on 11 October to try and make the armed forces to bow down to American demands for operations in North Waziristan. Then on the same night, Kayani scurried to his henchman, President Zardari, to seek his assistance to make the political leadership bow to America's war. And then on 13 October, General Kayani's mouth piece, ISPR Chief, Lt. General Asim Bajwa, directly raised the issue of operations in North Waziristan in the media.

The traitors within the military and political leadership bow before America despite its flagrant assaults against the Muslim blood and honor, never daring to oppose her as they should. This is why such rapid mobilization of the political and military leadership was not seen from Kayani when Americans insulted the honor of RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم, whilst US President Obama stubbornly insisted on the right to freedom of speech. Such harsh words were not heard from Kayani when Americans violated Pakistan's territory and attacked Abbatobad, making a mockery of Pakistan's honored and feared armed forces. Such commitment to act was not witnessed from Kayani when the precious blood of twenty four of Pakistan's armed forces was shed by the American led NATO attack on Salala check post. Nor was any such bold stance seen when the American murderer Raymond Davis was caught red-handed, nor when the Americans violated the honor of Dr. Aafia Siddiqui.

These traitors not only bow to America, never opposing her, they strengthen her hand, increasing her ability to attack the Muslim blood and honor. They provide America bases and the essential intelligence so that American drones can collapse the houses of thousands of Muslims upon their heads. These traitors establish America's private military organizations like Blackwater, within sensitive military cantonments and urban residential areas, so that they can organize a campaign of bombings and assassinations, slaughtering thousands of Muslim civilians and military personnel, in order to promote America's war by blaming the tribal Muslims. These traitors secure the US

Leaflet: Kayani bows before America, no matter what the cost to our blood and honor
embassy and consulates, which are America's bases for mischief and interference throughout the world, and have given approval for the world's second biggest US embassy in Islamabad, a huge fortress in all but name. They bow before America, seeking guidance, rewards and approval from her, in a war of Fitna that has cost tens of thousands of Muslim lives and billions of dollars of losses to the economy.

O Muslims of Pakistan!

These blessed Muslim lands of Pakistan, with the sixth biggest population in the world, with lands almost as large as Britain and France combined, with the world's seventh largest armed forces, with nuclear weapons, has been brought to bow down before America's mischief by a mere handful of traitors within our political and military leadership. This handful of traitors use our armed forces, intelligence, land and air space to further America's ambitions in our lands, ambitions that she could never hope to achieve for herself. This small bunch of traitors have strengthened the hands of these unworthy Western colonialists, who are despised throughout the world for their arrogant injustice, even though we possess resources that even the major powers of the world do not possess. They have raised the kuffar enemy over our heads, hateful of Islam and its people, even though we believe in Islam, the world's only true deen that gave rise to a glorious Khilafah state that dominated the world for centuries and was admired by it, in a manner that has never been seen before or since.

How can we bow before the monumental treachery of a few, when it is upon us, the many, each and every one of us, to raise the word of truth until Islam and its state, the Khilafah, prevail in this land? Are we not inviting the misery and humiliation to befall us if we bow before oppression, Fitnah and tyranny? Allah سبحانه وتعالى said, *“وَاتَّقُوا فِتْنَةً لَا تُصِيبُ الَّذِينَ ظَلَمُوا مِنْكُمْ خَاصَّةً وَاعْلَمُوا أَنَّ اللَّهَ شَدِيدُ الْعِقَابِ”*

“And fear the Fitnah (affliction and trial) which falls upon not in particular (only) those of you who do wrong (but it affects all the good and bad people), and know that Allah is Severe in punishment.”

[Al-Anfal: 25]. And RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم said, *وَلَتَنْهَوُنَّ عَنِ الْمُنْكَرِ، وَلَتَأْمُرُنَّ بِالْمَعْرُوفِ، وَلَيَأْطُرَنَّ عَلَى الْحَقِّ أَطْرًا، أَوْ لَيَضْرِبَنَّ اللَّهُ قُلُوبَ بَعْضِكُمْ عَلَى بَعْضٍ، وَلَيَلْعَنَنَّكُمْ كَمَا لَعَنَهُمْ*

“Nay, by Allah, you have to enjoin the Good and forbid the Evil, and hold the hand of the tyrant, and force him on the truth and restrict him to the truth, or Allah SWT will strike your hearts against each other and curse you as he cursed them.” (Reported by Tabarani).

Take heed from the example of our brethren in Syria, ash-Sham, Muslims like us, who love Allah سبحانه وتعالى and His Messenger صلى الله عليه وسلم like us. They have risen in a manner against the tyrant in their lands with such bravery and patience, that it has caused his master, America, to lose her mind at the imminent arrival of the Khilafah in ash-Sham.

O officers of Pakistan's armed forces!

We in Hizb ut-Tahrir have taken the responsibility of returning Islam to practical implementation in life through the establishment of the Khilafah state. Accordingly we understand the suffering of the armed forces, just as we understand the suffering of the people. We sense your rage at the humiliation before America. Moreover, we call you and do not despair of you, because we understand the Iman that resides in your hearts and the desire for Shahaada that flows in your veins. We know you as true sons of this Ummah and that the American enemy has not broken your resolve, nor do you fear it, despite all that the traitors within Pakistan's military and political leadership have committed of crimes against you and your people. Kayani and his henchmen have disgraced the people you have sworn to defend with your lives and even now seek to distance you

Leaflet: Kayani bows before America, no matter what the cost to our blood and honor
from your responsibilities. They have distanced you away from the liberation of the Muslim lands, an obligation on you from your Lord, and have directed you instead to kill your brothers in the tribal areas, a matter forbidden to you. It is upon you now to be as the Ansar رضي الله عنهم were in the time of RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم and deliver a death blow to the plans and plots of the kuffar by granting your Nussrah (Material Support) for the rule by Islam in our Muslim Lands, over our dignified Muslim people. It is only under a righteous Khaleefah, leading you in fight against the enemy, that you will taste the honor you deserve and strive for the rewards that you seek.

يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا هَلْ أَدُلُّكُمْ عَلَى تِجَارَةٍ تُنْجِيكُمْ مِنْ عَذَابٍ أَلِيمٍ * تُؤْمِنُونَ بِاللَّهِ وَرَسُولِهِ وَتُجَاهِدُونَ فِي سَبِيلِ اللَّهِ بِأَمْوَالِكُمْ وَأَنْفُسِكُمْ ذَلِكَ خَيْرٌ لَكُمْ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ تَعْلَمُونَ * يَغْفِرَ لَكُمْ ذُنُوبَكُمْ وَيُدْخِلَكُمْ جَنَّاتٍ تَجْرِي مِنْ تَحْتِهَا الْأَنْهَارُ وَمَسَاكِنَ طَيِّبَةً فِي جَنَّاتٍ عَدْنٍ ذَلِكَ الْفَوْزُ الْعَظِيمُ

“O you who believe, shall I tell you about a trade that saves you from a painful punishment? That you believe in Allah and His Messenger, and carry out Jihad in His way with your wealth and your lives. That is much better for you, if you but know. (If you do this,) He will forgive your sins, and will admit you to gardens beneath which rivers flow, and to pleasant dwellings in gardens of eternity. That is the great achievement!” (al-Saff: 10-12)

**Hizb ut-Tahrir
Wilayah Pakistan
15 October 2012 CE
29 Dhul- Qa’adah 1433 AH**

Continued from Page 33

...with America, despite your bold slogans against the Great Shaytan? عَدُوٌّ فَاتَّخَذُوهُ عَدُوًّا إِنَّ الشَّيْطَانَ لَكُمْ عَدُوٌّ فَاتَّخَذُوهُ عَدُوًّا “Indeed Shaytan is your enemy, so take him as your enemy.” [Surah Fatir 35: 6]

We know it is too late for you to repent and turn back from your black deeds. Heed well, the Khilafah that is about to arise soon inshaAllah will seize you and punish you along with the other traitor rulers in the Muslim World!

And know that the Muslims in Iran are as angry with you, as their brothers in the countries of the Arab Spring are angry with their tyrants. Soon they will seize you by your throats as their brothers seized the throats of their tyrants and whether you anticipate it or not, what Allah decides to make happen, will happen. وَإِذْ قَالَتْ أُمَّةٌ مِنْهُمْ لِمَ تَعِظُونَ قَوْمًا اللَّهُ مُهْلِكُهُمْ أَوْ مُعَذِّبُهُمْ عَذَابًا شَدِيدًا قَالُوا مَعذِرَةٌ إِلَى رَبِّكُمْ .

وَلَعَلَّهُمْ يَتَّقُونَ “When some of them said: "Why do you warn a people whom Allah will destroy or visit with a terrible punishment?"- They replied: “to discharge our duty to your Lord, and perhaps they may fear Him.” [Surah al-‘Araaf 7:164]

**Hizb ut-Tahrir
Wilayah Pakistan
4 September 2012 CE
18 Shawwal 1433 AH**

Imprisonment sentence to a member of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Morocco will not stop the establishment of Khilafah

Hizb ut-Tahrir demonstrated outside Moroccan embassy in Islamabad against the sentence of imprisonment of member of Hizb ut-Tahrir Thami Najim in Morocco. Demonstrators were holding banners and placards declaring “Incarceration of the Shabab of the Hizb ut-Tahrir, will not stop the establishment of Khilafah” and “O Tyrant of Morocco, Khilafah is coming to avenge your crimes”. Demonstrators were demanding the abolishment of false case against Thami Najmi and his immediate release. Demonstrators raised slogans against the tyrant monarch of Morocco and warned him that the upcoming Khilafah state will account him severely for crimes he has committed against this Ummah. Demonstrators asked Human Rights Organizations to raise their voice against the imprisonment of member of the Hizb ut-Tahrir. Demonstrators submitted a letter to Moroccan embassy in which tyrant monarch of Morocco was warned against his oppression and demanded the immediate release of Thami Najmi. At the end of the demonstration, demonstrators dispersed peacefully while raising slogans in support for the establishment of Khilafah and the demanding the release of Thami Najmi.

Note: Thami Najim is 37 years old and he is a software developer. He was abducted from his home on 03/02/2012, and then charged for conspiring against the Moroccan monarchy through violent means, with foreign funding. Six months after his arrest the Moroccan Minister for Justice and Freedom, Mustapha Ramed, acknowledged his status as a political prisoner. A case was registered against him and articles 201 and 206 of the Criminal Law were applied. A court ruling in his case on 05/06/2012 found him innocent of charges related to article 201, whilst it found him guilty according to article 206, thus sentencing him to ten months of imprisonment. On 11 September 2012 an appeal court rejected his appeal and raised his sentenced to eighteen months imprisonment.

Media Office Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilaya Pakistan



The kafir colonialists, especially America, have gathered upon a scheme to corrupt your revolution

In recent days, there has been an escalation in the crimes of the tyrant of ash-Sham, Bashar, with murder and destruction of human beings, not even sparing the trees and stones! He disrupted the harvesting and cattle rearing, burning crops and livestock. He committed his crimes using guns, tanks, aircraft, explosives and cluster bombs, that killed the women, the children and the elderly ... These weapons did not even come to the mind of the tyrant to use against the Jewish entity, who usurped Palestine and the Golan Heights, but were stored in their depots for all that time, not to be seen, even when the aircraft of the Jews hovered around his palace! Now he deploys these weapons to kill the people, whom he still claims are his people...!

At the same time, there has been an escalation in talk about political maneuvering and mocking deals. Then there were the conferences of the National Council abroad and then the regime's staged and crafted conferences at home ...asides from the international marathon of envoys of all hues, the black and the green! Then there was the opinion poll and frantic to and fro, from the morning to the evening, resulting in a corrupted invitation to negotiate a truce, a ceasefire, on Eid, after which the murder and crimes will resume! Then there was the comical dialogue, running between the executioner and the victim: the regime on one side and the revolutionaries on the other, to form a transitional government comprised of the two parties, erasing the tyrant's crimes, granting him amnesty to roam, without accountability or questioning!

The brutality of the regime is obvious, seen by all states, large and small, and verified without the need for any opinion poll. However, the actors on the stage leave the situation to escalate militarily, whilst allowing it to muddle along politically. This is because of a fear that ruling by Islam will fill the vacuum, after the fall of the tyrant, with the establishment of the Khalifah in the land of ash-Sham. They have experienced the glory of the Muslims and the humiliation of the kafir colonialists, when the Muslims had a Khalifah by whom they were guarded and behind whom they fought. It is because of this fear that they gather together, not only America who does not want its agent to leave until she finds an alternative or manufactures one, but also Europe, Russia and China, the agents and the followers. They gather despite the differences of these states in their interests and ambitions; some of them support the regime in secret, others openly, whilst some others provide him with one extension after another, whilst yet others supply him with arms continuously and still others hinder any resolution against him ... As for the agents and followers, they merely walk behind their master; Turkey declares Farouq al-Shara as a man of conscience and Jordan declares Riad Hijab *as* a man of morals, whilst the National Council welcomes Tlass and parades him as a blow against the regime, even though he was born of its womb... Qatar and Saudi Arabia are hovering around with lots of money but with few and sparingly distributed weapons, lest they reach the true believers, and Iran is fighting alongside the regime and its followers as best they can! Under the pretext of defiance and resistance, the regime has exceeded in evil beyond the horizons, indeed it is an evil consort!

They all met against the people of Syria, only to fight their thundering takbeers and their defiant chants declaring that there is no bowing except before Allah and that the people want the Khalifah and the rule by all that Allah has revealed ... These chants resounded in the ears of these states, such

Leaflet: A call to the sincere revolutionaries in the lands of ash-Sham.

that the fear of the Khilafah gathered within them. Then they threw behind their backs what they flaunt of words of crimes against humanity, genocide, brutal massacres, human rights ... all of these words have been removed from their dictionaries... All of this is permitted to them, rather obliged upon them, in order to prevent the return of the Khilafah, if they are able to ... Thus, this is how they are today, and have always been, in their scheming and hatred against Islam and its people; لَا يَرْقُبُونَ

“With regard to any believer, they respect not the ties, either of kinship or of covenant! It is they who are the transgressors.” [Surah At-Tawbah 9:10], قَدْ بَدَتْ
الْبَغْضَاءُ مِنْ أَفْوَاهِهِمْ وَمَا تَخْفِي صُدُورُهُمْ أَكْبَرُ, “Rank hatred has appeared from their mouths, though what their hearts conceal is even greater.” [Surah Aali-Imran 3:118].

O the revolutionaries in Syria! We realize that among you there are a few who are duped by the culture of the West, stupefied by its ideas and concepts, repeating what it says, calling for a secular democratic civil state, which separates religion from life ... They are but a few that they do not want the rule of Islam, desiring the western culture with its corruption, so that the current system would remain with a mere change in faces, deeds and bodies! This faction is misguided and they have no weight in themselves, for their weight depends on the corrupt regime and its masters. So, if the flame of that regime and its masters were extinguished, the flame of this faction would extinguish too, for they are tied to it in knots!

We are also aware that amongst you there is another faction, which is more in numbers than those few, and are greater in weight ... They are Muslims but with a covering over their eyes: they love Islam and want the Khilafah and they love the Rayah (Banner) of RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم, but they do not announce what they like and want because of fear of provoking the colonialist powers and they don't raise the Banner because of fear of inciting the nationalists! We remind them so they may be aware and we remind them so that they will not reap grapes from the thorns of the kafir colonialist West, nor from its agents amongst those who call to nationalists. As much as they try not to provoke the West, and avoid making it angry, thinking that this will guarantee the West's support, they are dreaming. They are dreaming because only if they were to abandon their skins by leaving their deen would they please the enemies of Allah and His Messenger صلى الله عليه وسلم. وَلَنْ يَرْضَى عَنْكَ الْيَهُودُ وَلَا النَّصَارَى حَتَّى تَتَّبِعَ مِلَّتَهُمْ “Neither the Jews nor the Christians will be pleased with you unless you follow their religion.” [Surah al-Baqarah 2:120]

We are also aware that there is a multitude amongst you who are sincere revolutionaries, who make takbeer and call for the Khilafah, those who say that they do not bow down to anyone but Allah ... These are the pivot and we call them in this statement, because they are the ones before whom the corruption shatters in front of their Iman (Belief) RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم said, أَلَا وَإِنَّ الْإِيمَانَ إِذَا وَقَعَتِ الْفِتْنُ بِالشَّامِ “Iman will always be in Ash-Sham, whenever there is corruption.” [Al-Hakim]

It is you, O sincere revolutionaries that we call to beware that the military and political attacks against you are to create despair in you and force you to sit down with the regime and its men. Do not sit with them, they are equal in treason and treachery, for this regime and its masters produce only traitorous agents, so beware, beware, هُمْ الْعَدُوُّ فَاحْذَرُهُمْ قَاتَلَهُمُ اللَّهُ أَنَّى يُؤْفَكُونَ “They are the enemies, so beware of them. May Allah curse them! How are they denying the right path.” [Surah al-Munafiqoon 63:4]

Leaflet: A call to the sincere revolutionaries in the lands of ash-Sham.

It is for you, O sincere revolutionaries, that we send this statement in these ten nights of the month of Dhul-Hijjah, of the Sacred months, to beware of any deal with this regime, even if it were decorated to improve its face, drawing attention to its adornments, for it will only earn the anger of Allah in whose way, Glorified be He, you spilled your blood only for the regime to remain in its tyranny over you in the end. Beware because betrayal is the habit of the traitor agents, they lie in wait for you secretly and openly, and if it is a matter of lying in wait, then let it be as Allah سبحانه و تعالى says:

قُلْ هَلْ تَرَبَّصُونَ بِنَا إِلَّا إِحْدَى الْحُسَيْنَيْنِ وَتَحْنُنْ تَرَبَّصْ بِكُمْ أَنْ يُصِيبَكُمْ اللَّهُ بِعَذَابٍ مِنْ عِنْدِهِ أَوْ بِأَيْدِينَا فَتَرَبَّصُوا إِنَّا مَعَكُمْ مُتَرَبِّصُونَ

"Say: "Do you lie in wait for us but for one of the two best things, (our Victory or our Martyrdom); while we await for you either that Allah will afflict you with a punishment from Himself or at our hands. So wait, we too are waiting with you." [Surah At-Tawba 9:52]

By the will of Allah you are victorious as long you are firm on the right: purify your Niya (Intention) to Allah in your work, and be resolute in your resolve to strive to establish the Khilafah, and beware of bargaining, compromises and deals or acceptance of any remnant of the regime whatsoever.

O revolutionaries who are sincere to their revolution! The guide does not lie to his people and Hizb ut-Tahrir warns you, it is a counsel to you and it seeks for right, as you do... Hizb warns you of any agreement with the regime under any name whatsoever: whether transitional or permanent, whether under the auspices of the Arab League or the United Nations, with the head of the regime or with its limbs or tails, they are but a continuation of evil and betrayal, and there is no difference amongst any one of them ... Do not allow them any way amongst you, except that you bury this system and its pillars and establish the Khilafah al-Rashida in its place. Only then will you be sincere with Allah and His Messenger, loyal to the pure blood that filled the lands of Ash-Shaam, such that there is not even an inch to be found without the drop of blood of a martyr or from the wounds of the wounded. Only then will you win in both realms, glad tidings to the believers.

O revolutionaries who are sincere to their revolution! The escalation of the regime's attacks is nothing but the dance of the slaughtered and is a proof of its despair. You must not despair of the mercy of Allah and know that victory comes with patience. You have challenged the regime for twenty months and what remains is less than what has passed, for the regime is finished or almost finished. It can only count on your despair before it falls into a deep abyss and that is why the regime escalates both in its brutal attacks and in its dealings and negotiating, so that perhaps it finds a way out. So do not allow the regime to do that, such that it becomes alive after dying a death

فَلَا تَهِنُوا وَتَدْعُوا إِلَى السَّلَامِ وَأَنْتُمْ الْأَعْلَوْنَ وَاللَّهُ مَعَكُمْ وَلَنْ يَتَرَكُمُ أَعْمَالُكُمْ

"So do not lose heart and beg for peace while you are superior. Allah is with you and He will never deprive you of (the reward of) your deeds." [Surah Muhammad 47:35]

Hizb ut-Tahrir

4th Dhul Hijjah 1433

Corresponding to 20/10/2012

America forges an “alliance” with Russia to prevent the re-establishment of the Khilafah in Syria, in Ash-Sham

Since March of last year, 2011, the Muslims of Syria, in ash-Shaam, have risen up against their ruler, President Bashar of the ruling Al-Assad family, whom America bought to power nearly forty years ago. For all this time, the Al-Assad regime ruled by kufr socialism and capitalism, oppressing the people ruthlessly, reserving its greatest venom for those who called for Islam. It supported America in its plans within the region, whether it was surrendering the Golan Heights to the "Jewish State" or supporting America's invasion and occupation of Iraq. However, the anger within the people built until they erupted. They filled the streets demanding Islam, with many of them calling *الشعب يريد الخلافة من جديد* "The people want the Khilafah and raising the black and white flags of the coming Khilafah.

Deprived of sleep at the thought of the return of the Khilafah, America ordered its agent Bashar to crush the uprising ruthlessly, making weak statements against him to buy him time. The Al-Assad regime destroyed towns and villages. It slaughtered tens of thousands, not sparing the women, the elderly and the children. Its thugs tortured the men, raped the women and abducted the children, seizing them in the streets from the arms of their mothers.

However, the Al-Assad regime is failing to halt the revolution. Its pillars are burning and the elements of its life are collapsing. High ranking figures from the political and military leadership turned away from the tyrant of Ash-Sham, whilst tens of thousands from the armed forces now stand with the people against the tyrant. The revolution has embraced almost all the country, overwhelming the two main strongholds that Bashar had boasted control over, Damascus and Aleppo.

Had America been able to find an alternative to Bashar, it would have discarded him as it readily discarded others in the previous Arab uprisings. Therefore America gives deadlines as extensions until it finds an alternative or manufactures one... America's is concerned should Islam reach the rule and the Khilafah state is established, and this is the concern of all kafir colonialists. So, America shares with Russia in the support of Bashar in secret and in public, and both of them used various means to support him ... Thus, Russia shares America's fear of the Khilafah and has fought its arrival in the Muslim Central Asian states in recent times. So, Russia allied willingly with America, just as it allied with France and Britain in World War I to fight the Khilafah. At the meeting of the Russian and US Presidents, Putin and Obama, on 18 June 2012 at Los Cabos, Mexico, America opened the doors to Syria for Russia. And today Russia helps America by supporting the regime of Bashar Al-Assad openly with intelligence and military officials, whilst America supports it from behind the scenes, buying Bashar more time.

As always, America called upon the traitors within the military and political leadership of the Ummah to assist it in its greatest hour of need. It was upon this leadership to mobilize the nearly six million troops of the Ummah to assist the Muslims of Syria and establish the Khilafah within days, dealing a death blow to the American hegemony in the Muslim Lands. However, instead, they chose to assist the kuffar in their fight against Islam and its people. The regional Arab and Turkish

Leaflet: America's alliance with Russia to prevent the re-establishment of Khilafah

intelligence was mobilized both in defense of Al-Assad and in the frantic search of any alternative, whilst Iran sent troops.

As for the rulers of Pakistan, such is their slavery to America, they did not wish to be left behind in this great treachery of our age. It was not enough for them to fight the arrival of Khilafah in Pakistan, by persecuting officers like Brigadier Ali Khan, who support Islam, as well as those politicians who call for the Khilafah, like Naveed Butt, the Spokesman of Hizb ut-Tahrir. No, they seek to confirm their place in Jahannum by assisting America in forging its new alliance with Russia, as much as they can. On 20 July 2012, Pakistan's rulers hurriedly sent a political delegation to co-ordinate with America's new ally Russia over a UN proposal. Then on 29 July, the rulers sent Pakistan's Ambassador to Syria to meet the Syrian Information Minister, so as to control Pakistan's media coverage of the Syrian revolution to mislead the people about the role of America and Russia. After the head of Inter Services Intelligence (ISI), General Zaheer ul-Islam, visited America from 1 August to 3 August 2012, the intelligence agencies were mobilized to conduct a propaganda campaign within the armed forces to mislead them about the reality of the American-Russian alliance. Then, on 3 October 2012, America sent its loyal and trusted agent General Kayani to Russia bearing offerings on behalf of his masters, to further strengthen the American-Russian alliance.

O Muslims of Pakistan!

The voices in Syria resound for the establishment of the Khilafah and it upon us to extend them the support of our armed forces, the seventh largest in the world, armed with nuclear weapons. RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم said,

مَا مِنْ أَمْرٍ يَخْذُلُ أَمْرًا مُسْلِمًا عِنْدَ مَوْطِنٍ تُنْتَهَكَ فِيهِ حُرْمَتُهُ وَيُنْتَقَصُ فِيهِ مِنْ عَرَضِهِ إِلَّا خَذَلَهُ اللَّهُ عَزَّ وَجَلَّ فِي مَوْطِنٍ يُحِبُّ فِيهِ نَصْرَتَهُ، وَمَا مِنْ أَمْرٍ يَنْصُرُ أَمْرًا مُسْلِمًا فِي مَوْطِنٍ يُنْتَقَصُ فِيهِ مِنْ عَرَضِهِ وَيُنْتَهَكَ فِيهِ مِنْ حُرْمَتِهِ إِلَّا نَصَرَهُ اللَّهُ فِي مَوْطِنٍ يُحِبُّ فِيهِ نَصْرَتَهُ “There is no man who lets a Muslim down in a situation where his honor is

disparaged and his sanctity violated, but that Allah will abandon him in a situation in which he wished for His Support. And there is no man who helps a Muslim in a situation in where his honor is disparaged and his sanctity violated except that Allah will help him in a situation in which he wished for His Support.” [Ahmad]

Know that the traitors within our political and military leadership will never mobilize our armed forces to support the Muslims of Syria. Rather, they are willing to shed the blood of our troops to achieve American dominance in any far flung corner of the world, under the flag of the United Nations or any other flag. They will assist America by securing its bases, embassies and supply lines, but will never lift even a finger for the defense of Islam and Muslims. They not only struggle to prevent the arrival of Khilafah here, they cross seas and lands to prevent it arising anywhere else. It is upon us to ensure that our armed forces play their part in giving support to the people of Ash-Sham, which is the centre of the believers as the RasulAllah صلى الله عليه وسلم said in praise of Ash-Sham, *Verily, the centre of the believers' abode is Ash-Sham.*” And he صلى الله عليه وسلم said, *“When the Ummah is tested the faith will be in Sham.”* [Ahmed]. And he صلى الله عليه وسلم said, *يا طوبى للشام! يا طوبى للشام! قالوا يا رسول الله وبم ذلك؟ قال تلك ملائكة الله باسطوا أجنحتها على الشام*

O bliss for Ash-Sham! O bliss for Ash-Sham! They asked, “O RasulAllah, why do you say that? He said that angel of Allah has spread its wings all over Ash-Shaam.” [Tirmidhi]

...Continued on Page 46

Delegation of Hizb delivered an open letter for Iranian leadership from Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilayah Pakistan to Iranian mission in Lahore

Delegation of Hizb delivered an open letter for Iranian leadership from Hizb ut-Tahrir wilaya Pakistan to Iranian mission in Lahore

Today a delegation from Hizb ut-Tahrir delivered an open letter for Iranian leadership from Hizb ut-Tahrir wiliaya Pakistan to Iranian mission in Lahore. This letter was written against lending support to Bashar Al-Asad, the murderer of Syrian people and an enemy of Allah and His Messenger (s.a.w) from Iranian leadership. This letter condemned the act of Iranian leadership that they have supported an American agent Bashar, sending Iranian soldiers to Syria to fight against Syrian people and killing of Syrian Muslims by Iranian Revolutionary Guards along with the most notorious “Shabiha” militia. In the letter it was asked from the Iranian leadership that how could they send Muslim soldiers of Iran to support Bashar while he and his family has been ruling according to Kufar laws for decades and on the other hand there are those Syrian Muslims who stood up against this tyrant ruler so that Islamic system should be implemented and the Muslims will be united under a single state to fight against America. So does the Iranian leadership not want to end Kufar system in Syria and the establishment of Islamic system of Khilafah? Furthermore the letter said that by supporting Bashar’s regime Iranian leadership has increased the list of their treachery against Allah, His Messenger (s.a.w), the Imams of the believers and their followers similar to their earlier treachery when they stood on American side while the Muslims of Iraq and Afghanistan were slaughtered and their land was being occupied by crusader armies. It is said in the letter that by Allah’s will Khilafah is soon going to be established and it will end their rule based on Kufar laws and will punish them along other traitor Muslim rulers. The letter stated that the anger of Iranian Muslims against their rule is as high as their brothers anger against their traitor rulers in the Arab world, therefore very soon Iranian Muslims will seize them from their necks as their brothers seized their rulers in the Arab world. In the end Iranian leadership was advised to revert their decisions back although its chances are very slim.

Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Pakistan

Continued from Page 30

The Muslims whether they are Shia or Sunni believe in the noble Quran and in the words of their Lord when He (SWT) said:

وَمَنْ يَقْتُلْ مُؤْمِنًا مُتَعَمِّدًا فَجَزَاؤُهُ جَهَنَّمُ خَالِدًا فِيهَا وَغَضِبَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْهِ وَلَعَنَهُ وَأَعَدَّ لَهُ عَذَابًا عَظِيمًا

“And whoever kills a believer intentionally, His recompense is Hell to abide therein, And the wrath and Curse of Allah is upon him and a great punishment is prepared for him” [An- Nisa 93].

The Muslims of Pakistan reject the Sectarian Killings, which is the handiwork of America and its lowly agents to create divisions amongst them. The People of Pakistan yearn for strength and unity under the Islamic Aqeedah through the Re-Establishment of the Khilafah State.

Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Pakistan

The tour of Syria during the Revolution by Head of the Media Office of the Hizb-ut Tahrir / Wilayah of Syria

During his recent tour of Syria, Engineer: Hisham Al-Baba, the head of the Media Office of the Hizb-ut Tahrir, Wilaya of Syria, met with the revolution activists in several regions, with the Hizb-ut Tahrir's members and with the supporters of the establishment of the Khilafah and the return of the Islamic State, after the imminent overthrowing of the tyrant of Al-Sham, Allah willing. He delivered a speech at the mosque of '*Ansaar ur-Rasool*' (the supporters of the Prophet, peace be upon him) in I'zaaz. He greeted the audience, welcomed their meeting and thanked them for their good reception in the pure land of Al-Sham, the land of courage and valor. He applauded the bravery of the people rebelling in Al-Sham. He also conveyed to them the greetings of the venerable scholar, 'Ataa Abu Rashtah, Ameer of the Hizb-ut Tahrir, May Allah protect him. He spoke after that about the activities of the Hizb-ut Tahrir at home and abroad in support of the Syrian revolution. He prayed that Allah crowns the enormous sacrifices and the pure blood of the martyrs that have watered the soil of the land of Al-Sham with a second rightly guided Khilafah on the model of prophethood, Allah willing. He stressed that what is happening in Syria is a frantic struggle between the Truth and Falsehood, at a time where the West and the East have plotted against the revolution of Syria for fear of its Islamic patronage that proceeds steadily towards the Islamic Khilafah State. He explained the conspiracy of the media against the Syrian revolution because of this trend. He pointed out that the rebelling people of Al-Sham will not be content simply with the liberation of Syria from the ruling of the tyrant and the rules of disbelief (kufr); rather Palestine would be their next destination, Allah willing. They will not be satisfied with less than its liberation and its return as an Islamic Wilaya as it was before. This would return the land of Al-Sham to one state, and as a part of the coming Khilafah State, Allah willing, which will be the leading state in the world within a decade. He also pointed to the roadmap issued by the Hizb-ut Tahrir, Wilayah of Syria, on 28 Ramadan 1433 AH to bring about the change in Syria that leads to the Khilafah State, titled: **"The manifesto of the Hizb-ut Tahrir about the revolution of AL- Sham: Towards the birth of a second rightly guided Khilafah."**

The brother, head of the Media Office also delivered in the subsequent days a lecture, publicized, entitled: **"The revolution of Al- Sham: Sacrifices and challenges, legendry patience and an international conspiracy - the last of which is Lakhdar Brahimi"**, in the area of Soran in Aleppo countryside, where the lecture had wonderful positive echoes, and good interaction from the audience. It was interspersed with aware questions from the youth, yearning for the rule of Islam. The lecture was followed by the distribution of the draft Constitution of the Khilafah State and the statement about the conspiracy of Lakhdar Brahimi among the audience who commended this lecture and demanded repeat and more frequent visits.

Hisham Al-Baba pointed out in the lecture the huge sacrifices the Syrian people made. He said that it would be forbidden - after these sacrifices, the blood of the martyrs, the devastated economy, the suffering of the children, the groans of the bereaved, tens of thousands of detainees and hundreds of thousands of tortured and displaced all over the world. Yes, it would be haram after all of that for the people to accept a superficial change of face, after more than fifty thousand martyrs. It would be haram after all of this for people to accept less than the Islamic State that revenges from every one that fought against Allah and hurt His loyal servants. He pointed also to the gravity of the internal and external challenges facing our proud rebelling people, of international and regional conspiracy, and the frantic

Press Release: Tour of Syria by Hisham al-Baba

attempts to circumvent the revolution and promoting agents of the kind of the regime to dominate the people after the transitional phase and the political solution that they promote.

In conclusion he addressed our rebelling people on the land of Al-Sham saying: *"Be steadfast and vie in steadfastness; for indeed victory is only the patience of an hour. For the sake of supporting this deen you are paying the tax of struggle on behalf of the whole Ummah. We encourage you and invite you to take a lesson from the previous revolutions, so that you do not fall in the trap of a civil secular state as they did. Beware of the deception of the West and its local tools. We invite you to combine your efforts with ours to overthrow the tyrant of Al-Sham so that we enjoy Allah's victory. Our appointment is near in Damascus in the*

Umayyad Mosque, Allah willing, to give a pledge of allegiance to the Imam so as to govern us with the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger; and indeed that is not a great matter for Allah." The joy was enormous during the tour and these meetings. Many saw in them good signs of victory looming in the land of Al-Sham. The brother, head of the Media Office promised a repeat of this tour and said: *"Our Media Office does not see itself in Damascus only, but rather in all parts of the land of the blessed Syrian revolution. You, heroes have taught the whole Ummah the alphabet of revolutions and the challenging of the Taghoot. If we leave you and go back to Damascus...it would be in hope of waiting for the zero hour, the time of the declaration of the Khilafah, where you will hear the scream of Allahu Akbar with the appeal: 'extend your hand to give you the bai'ah .. on the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger'. Thus, the free people of Al-Sham would then step with their feet on the era of oppressive ruling (hukm jabri), and declare the start of the era of the rightly guided Khilafah on the model of prophethood), where the cries of Allahu Akbar would then reverberate among the people.*

O Allah, bless the people of Al-Sham with victory and consolidation, O Lord of the Worlds. Our last prayer is celebrating the great pleasure to Allah, the Lord of the worlds.

Head of the Media Office of Hizb-ut Tahrir / Wilayah of Syria
Engineer: Hisham Al-Baba

Continued from Page 43

O officers of Pakistan's armed forces!

The Ummah has awoken for Islam and will not return to sleep. She is sacrificing and will continue to do so until the matter is decided by Allah سبحانه و تعالى. Her bravery and perseverance upon the Truth has caused America to founder in its resolve and become frantic in its efforts to halt the return of the Khilafah. Does this not inspire you as men of war to come forward and propel this Ummah to its goal? It is high time to remove Kayani, Zardari and their small bunch of thugs that have hijacked your blood, fire and steel, a matter which will take but a few hours, if you were to grant the Nussrah to Hizb ut-Tahrir for the immediate establishment of the Khilafah. And then the Muslims will be dignified by your Nussrah, and you will gain forgiveness and a great reward,

يَغْفِرُ لَكُمْ ذُنُوبَكُمْ وَيُدْخِلُكُمْ جَنَّاتٍ تَجْرِي مِنْ تَحْتِهَا الْأَنْهَارُ وَمَسَاكِنَ طَيِّبَةً فِي جَنَّاتٍ عَدْنٍ ذَلِكَ الْفَوْزُ الْعَظِيمُ *
وَأُخْرَى تُحِبُّونَهَا نَصْرٌ مِنَ اللَّهِ وَفَتْحٌ قَرِيبٌ وَبَشِّرِ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ

*"Allah will forgive you your sins, and admit you to Gardens beneath which rivers flow, and to beautiful mansions In Gardens of Eternity: that is indeed the Supreme achievement * And another (favour that He will bestow,) which you do love,- help from Allah and a speedy victory. So give the glad tidings to the believers." [Surah As-Saff 61:12-13]*

Hizb ut-Tahrir Wilayah Pakistan

7 October 2012 CE 21 Dhul- Qa'adah 1433 AH

The Formation of Civilian-Military Boards: A Project Sponsored by the West in order to contain the revolution, establish a civil state and strike the plans for the Islamic Khilafah

On Friday 28/9/2012, the Media reported the formation of the so-called "Joint Command of the Military Revolutionary Boards." The Head of the Office of Coordination and Communication, Maher al-Naimi, said in a statement to Al-Jazeera that the Joint Command aims to build an institutional integrated network from all spectrums of the Syrian people in order to achieve a free civil state.

For several months there has been discussion about the formation of a civilian-military leadership in Syria that works from within to lead towards transition. According to the newspaper "Al-Sharq Al-Awsat," published on 08/06/2012, "the idea is based on the formation of a body from within, including 28 independent civilians and 28 military renegade officers." This body would "choose from 6 to 8 personalities; half of them civilian and the other half from the military, to become envoys when meeting with states, governments, and regional and international organizations, so as to directly attain all kinds of support for the revolution."

The newspaper, "Al-Hayat", has also stated on 14/09/2012 that "Paris is working with friendly countries including the United States, Saudi Arabia, Qatar, and Turkey to help Syrian rebels on the ground" adding "it is advisable for the opposition to form a leading group comprised of approximately ten members representing all components of the Syrian society and quickly in order to be legitimate transition representatives for dialogue."

This "civilian-military leadership" has two arms: a civilian arm that commands the process of political change in the interests of the West, led by America, which will provide it with funds, media and political support to achieve the establishment of a 'pluralistic' civil and democratic state. The military arm will work to accommodate groups of armed rebels from within, who form the driving force of the revolution; and the majority of whom have Islamic tendencies. These have become an obsession, haunting the West, particularly America; especially those who present their political project based on establishing the Khilafah. The West perceives them as a threat with no other solution except elimination if they cannot be accommodated. The West will provide this "military" arm with funds, weapons and political support to accomplish its mission and eliminate all who deviate from its agenda after labeling them 'militants.'

The presentation of political initiatives, the formation of military or civilian boards, and the dispatch of international and regional envoys are all sponsored by the West, especially America, as justification for finding solutions and exits from the current situation. These solutions present a danger to Muslims in Syria in respect of their results and consequences. These actions, which claim to rescue the people of Syria and unifying the opposition, are in fact deeply suspicious initiatives,

Leaflet: Civilian-Military Boards – a project of the West

through which America is greatly struggling to keep its foothold in Syria in order to preserve its interests.

Knowing this would not be possible to achieve; except after defeating the project of establishing the Khilafah, which has become evident in this revolution. It aims to form a civil constitution and running elections accordingly, in order to form a civil democratic state, controlled by America.

O Muslims rebelling in the centre abode of Islam:

We, in Hizb ut-Tahrir, warn you to beware of falling into the traps of these suspicious projects. We invite you to challenge them and block the doors for those who call for them; namely the Western countries and their agents who are working to trap the loyal revolutionaries, obscuring their heroic actions and attributing their achievements to others. You need to know Allah commands you to depend on Him alone; for indeed He, The Almighty, alone is the Strong and Glorified. So, do not seek power from the West. He, The Almighty, is also the Rich; so do not seek favor from the agents of the West. He, subhanahu wata'aala, is also is the Supporter; so do not look right or left for support, otherwise you will be lost. You have to know that victory comes from Allah subhanahu wata'aala; so if you disobey Him or abandon any of His commands or remain silent, not speaking out the Truth, you would lose the support of the Lord of Heavens. Also, you must know that the matter is related to your faith in Allah and your perseverance of His test so as to scrutinize you. Let your ancestors from the Sahabah who journeyed out to conquer Al-Sham be your example.

Ibn Katheer mentioned in the interpretation of the words of Allah (SWT):

((لَقَدْ تَابَ اللَّهُ عَلَى النَّبِيِّ وَالْمُهَاجِرِينَ وَالْأَنْصَارِ الَّذِينَ اتَّبَعُوهُ فِي سَاعَةِ الْعُسْرَةِ مِنْ بَعْدِ مَا كَادَ يَزِيغُ قُلُوبُ فَرِيقٍ مِنْهُمْ ثُمَّ تَابَ عَلَيْهِمْ إِنَّهُ بِهِمْ رَءُوفٌ رَحِيمٌ))

"Allah has turned towards the Prophet and the Muhajirun (Muslim emigrants who left their homes and came to Al-Madinah) and the Ansar (Muslims of Al-Madinah), those who followed him (Muhammad SAW) in the 'time of difficulty' (Tabuk expedition, etc.), after the hearts of a group of them had almost deviated. Then He turned towards them - Indeed, He is unto them full of Kindness, Most Merciful." [9:117]

Mujahid said: "This was revealed in the Battle of Tabuk. They went out to it at a severe time, in an infertile year, severely hot, with a shortage of food and water." And Qatada said: "They went out to Al-Sham on the year of Tabuk, in very hot weather, where Allah knows their effort. During which they suffered a lot, to the point - as we were told - that the two people would split the piece of date between them; and some of them would circulate the piece of date between them: where one would suck it and drink 'water' over it, and another would suck it and drink over it, and then a third person would suck it and drink over it " .

Allah (SWT) said:

((لَقَدْ كَانَ لَكُمْ فِي رَسُولِ اللَّهِ أُسْوَةٌ حَسَنَةٌ لِمَنْ كَانَ يَرْجُو اللَّهَ وَالْيَوْمَ الْآخِرَ وَذَكَرَ اللَّهَ كَثِيرًا))

"Certainly in the Messenger of Allah you have an excellent example for him who hopes in Allah and the last day and remembers Allah much." [33:21]

Hizb-ut Tahrir

Wilayah of Syria

16 Thul Qi'dah AH

30 September 2012 CE

News from all around the world!

Africa facing intensified 'food crisis'

New report suggests that numerous African and Middle East countries are at a high or extreme risk of a food crisis. Seventy-five per cent of countries on the African continent and several Arab countries face an impending food crisis, a new study has revealed. Maplecroft's Food Security Risk Index, a report released on Wednesday, found that in a survey of 197 countries worldwide, up to 39 of the 59 most at risk of food insecurity were African countries. "Although a food crisis has not emerged yet, there is potential for food-related upheaval across the most vulnerable regions," including sub-Saharan African and Arab states, Helen Hodge, head of maps and indices at Maplecroft, said. Maplecroft said that low crop yields had pushed global food prices up by six per cent in July 2012, raising concerns of a repeat of the 2007/2008 food crisis. The crisis had culminated in a series of food riots across several countries, including Bangladesh, Ivory Coast, Egypt, Mexico, Senegal and Yemen. "Food price forecasts for 2013 provide a worrying picture," Hodge said. The British consultancy said that despite strong economic growth on the African continent, food security remained an issue of primary importance, citing armed conflict, civil unrest, drought, displacement and poor governance as exacerbating factors in creating conditions for a food crisis. Nine out of the 11 countries in the "extreme risk" category were in Africa. They include Somalia and the Democratic Republic of Congo (DR Congo), which are ranked joint first, Burundi (4th), Chad (5th), Ethiopia (6th), Eritrea (7th), South Sudan (9th), the Comoros (10th) and Sierra Leone (11th). Haiti (3rd) and Afghanistan (8th) are the other two countries in the extreme risk category. The 48 countries considered to be at "high" risk for food supplies include Yemen (15th), Syria (16th), Pakistan (27th), Papua New Guinea (33rd), North Korea (35th), Iraq (54th) and Libya (58th). Egypt, ranked 71st of the 197 countries, and Tunisia, 100th, are among medium-risk countries. Sources of food insecurity range from conflict

and instability in the Sahel, DR Congo and eastern Africa to rising prices for corn, caused by the worst US drought in 50 years and declining production in former Soviet countries, Maplecroft said.

Draft of Egypt's new constitution under fire for its Islamic influence

Egypt unveiled a proposed draft of a new constitution Wednesday amid criticism from liberals and human rights groups that the document is tilted toward Islamic law and endangers the democratic ideals that inspired the uprising that last year overthrew Hosni Mubarak. The partial draft, which was opened for public review, immediately revealed the battle lines between Islamists and secularists over the nation's character. Dominated by ultraconservative and moderate Islamists, the 100-member assembly that wrote the charter made it clear that civil and religious rights would be shaped through the prism of Islam. The proposal has echoes of Egypt's 1971 constitution, but the new document is a testament to a changing political era in which a nation once run by Western-leaning military men is now, after an uprising and months of tumultuous politics, increasingly in the hands of Islamists. That prospect is recasting alliances and weakening the influence of the U.S. and other Western powers. The draft states that Egypt is "a democratic regime" guided by the principles of Shariah, or Islamic law. But the wording in some articles, such as those dealing with the equality for men and women, are either explicitly tied to strict Islamic precepts or open to interpretation. Human rights groups fear such ambiguities will allow Islamists, especially ultraconservative Salafis, to exploit the language to advance a more religious-centric state. Article 36 states that "the state shall take all measures to establish the equality of women and men in the areas of political, cultural, economic, and social life, as well as all other areas, insofar as this does not conflict with the rulings of Islamic Shariah." Human Rights Watch criticized the provision as "not consistent

with international human rights law.” In a report this week, the organization added that the proposed draft “contains many loopholes that would allow future authorities to repress and limit basic rights and freedoms.” Islamists, however, were quick to defend the assembly at a news conference to kickoff a public review campaign called Know Your Constitution. “We are very proud of this constitution. It represents all Egyptians, even the Coptic (Christian) community,” said Abdelfattah Hosseiny, an Islamist judge and assembly member. “The media creates suspense for no reason. ... We were very fair in creating this constitutional draft, we asked for suggestions from all Egyptians, including the most simple citizens.”

2014: ‘Afghanistan is hurtling toward a devastating collapse’

As “D-Day approaches, the \$60 billion Think Tank industry in America is in full gear. Policy decisions are important during an election year, so whatever happens in November will have a colossal impact on the future of the war in West Asia. The BBC is now reporting that the Kabul government will collapse as soon as NATO, ISAF and the US withdraws. “The International Crisis Group (ICG) says the Afghan police and army are unprepared for security responsibility.” According to the report “from the Brussels-based group is stark in its prediction that the Western-backed government in Afghanistan could be on course for what it calls a devastating political crisis after 2014.” Foreign Policy Magazine, an ultra-conservative Neoconservative magazine is recommending that the US should not abandon Afghan peace talks and should include Pakistan as the mediator. Amazingly the article does not mention India or any of the other neighbours in Afghanistan. The Taliban on the other hand have also reiterated their position—withdraw from Afghanistan without any conditions and we will give you safe passage to leave. The Russians took it and withdrew quietly. If NATO doesn’t take the offer, there will be bloodshed while the army retreats. There is no reason for us to believe that the US and NATO won’t take the same route.

Pakistan blocks protest against US drones

The Pakistani military blocked a convoy carrying thousands of Pakistanis and a small contingent of US anti-war activists from entering a lawless tribal region along the border with Afghanistan on Sunday to protest American drone strikes. The group, led by cricket star turned politician Imran Khan and his political party, was turned back just miles from the border of South Waziristan. After an hour of fruitless negotiations, Mr Khan announced that the caravan would backtrack to the city of Tank, about 15km away. There, he delivered a speech to the crowd of about 10,000. Mr Khan has harshly criticised the Pakistani government’s co-operation with Washington in the fight against Islamist militants. He has been especially outspoken against US drone strikes targeting militants and has argued that Islamabad’s alliance with Washington is the main reason Pakistan is facing a home grown Taliban insurgency. He has suggested before that militant activity in Pakistan’s tribal areas will dissipate when the US ends the war across the border in Afghanistan. “We want to give a message to America that the more you carry out drone attacks, the more people will hate you,” Mr Khan told the crowd. The anti-American sentiment, always high in Pakistan, was evident in the crowd that waved banners saying “Down with America,” and “The friend of America is the traitor of the nation.” Pakistan’s tribal regions, such as North and South Waziristan, border Afghanistan and serve as bases for militant groups such as the Taliban to stage raids across the border into Afghanistan.

Cardinal causes uproar with "Muslim scare" video at Vatican

A Roman Catholic cardinal has caused an uproar at the Vatican by screening a spurious YouTube video that makes alarmist predictions about the growth of Islam in Europe. The seven-minute clip, called "Muslim Demographics," was the talk of an international gathering of bishops on Monday, two days after Cardinal Peter Turkson screened it during a free discussion period. The seven-minute clip, called "Muslim Demographics," was the talk of an

international gathering of bishops on Monday, two days after Cardinal Peter Turkson screened it during a free discussion period. Turkson, a Ghanaian who is based in the Vatican and is president of its Council for Justice and Peace, sparked consternation among his fellow bishops over the clip. "As we were arriving this morning I was asked several times 'Who planned it? Whose was it? Who is behind it?'" Father Thomas Rosica said at a briefing for journalists, who are not allowed to attend the synod sessions, the first since the screening. He said the clip had sparked "the most animated" discussion so far at the three-week conference, and one bishop said he would rebut Turkson by presenting a report with contrary data. The clip has brought Islam to the top of the agenda at the synod, attended by 262 bishops. "Islam is the buzzword," Rosica said. The clip, which has been viewed over 13 million times on YouTube since it was uploaded by an anonymous user in 2009, combines dramatic music with skewed population statistics to make claims about various European countries such as "In just 39 years France will be an Islamic republic." According to a study by the U.S.-based Pew Research Centre there were 2.18 billion Christians in 2010, half of which were Catholics. The total number of Muslims of all denominations was 1.6 billion and forecast to increase 35 percent to 2.2 billion by 2030, according to the research group. The Holy See's relations with Islam have not always been smooth. In 2006 Pope Benedict gave a speech in Regensburg which was perceived by some Muslims as an attack on Islam.

US to help create an elite Libyan force to combat Islamic extremists

The Pentagon and State Department are speeding up efforts to help the Libyan government create a commando force to combat Islamic extremists like the ones who killed the American ambassador in Libya last month and to help counter the country's fractious militias, according to internal government documents. The Obama administration quietly won Congress's approval last month to shift about \$8 million from Pentagon operations and counterterrorism aid budgeted for Pakistan to

begin building an elite Libyan force over the next year that could ultimately number about 500 troops. American Special Operations forces could conduct much of the training, as they have with counterterrorism forces in Pakistan and Yemen, American officials said. The effort to establish the new unit was already under way before the assault that killed Ambassador J. Christopher Stevens and three other Americans at the United States Mission in Benghazi, Libya. But the plan has taken on new urgency as the new government in Tripoli tries to assert control over the country's militant factions. According to an unclassified internal State Department memo sent to Congress on Sept. 4, the plan's goal is to enhance "Libya's ability to combat and defend against threats from Al Qaeda and its affiliates." A companion Pentagon document envisions that the Libyan commando force will "counter and defeat terrorist and violent extremist organizations." Right now, Libya has no such capability, American officials said.

Deadline dismissed: US wants troops to remain in Afghanistan after 2014

The United States' post-9/11 actions in Afghanistan have already earned the operation the distinction of being America's longest-running war, and promises on the verge of being shattered could cause an expected end to be still a ways in the future. Despite repeated pleas from US President Barack Obama to conclude the war in Afghanistan by the end of 2014, a leading official with the State Department tells reporters this week that the United States is readying plans that will leave boots on the ground for the unforeseeable future, perhaps long after the Obama-insisted deadline comes and goes. Marc Grossman, the State Department's special representative for Afghanistan and Pakistan, reportedly told the press on Tuesday that upcoming negotiations in the region will iron out how and who will be left overseas after 2014 to allegedly engage in counterterrorism efforts and assist Afghans with military training. According to a report published this week in *Foreign Policy*, Grossman says the State Dept. will soon start up a round of talks with overseas officials with the goal of establishing a game plan for how

America will conduct itself after the war is considered over. Josh Rogin with Foreign Policy writes, "the point of the upcoming negotiations is to agree on an extension of the US troop presence well past 2014, for the purposes of conducting counterterrorism operations and training and advising the Afghan security forces." Grossman adds that the State Dept. has already held meetings to discuss "how we will manage our forces going forward in Afghanistan," and now must begin negotiations to figure out a number of factors, including who and how many troops will be left behind. Earlier this week, officials with NATO confirmed that allied troops composed in part with US soldiers will remain in Afghanistan after the 2014 deadline, but strictly in an advisory role.

Buddhist monks in protest over Muslim aid

Thousands of Buddhist monks marched in Burma's two biggest cities to protest against efforts by the world's biggest Islamic body to help Rohingya Muslims involved in deadly communal clashes four months ago. The monks, a potent political force in the predominantly Buddhist country, denounced plans by the 57-member Organisation of Islamic Co-operation (OIC) to set up a liaison office in north-west Rakhine state, where violence erupted in June between ethnic Buddhist Rakhines and Rohingyas. Just hours after the monks dispersed, president Thein Sein's office announced it would not permit OIC representation in Burma. It was not immediately clear if the announcement was linked to the protests or had been planned in advance. "The government will not allow the opening of an OIC office as it is not in accordance with the desire of people," said a statement posted on its website. The monks were joined by ordinary citizens in Rangoon and Mandalay. Some carried placards, and said they would hold demonstrations until the government agreed to their demands. Despite being one of Asia's most ethnically diverse countries, Burma has entrenched ill-feelings towards the estimated 800,000 Rohingyas, most of whom live in poverty. Accused of being illegal immigrants and usually referred to as Bengalis because of

their south Asian origin, the Rohingyas are not recognised as citizens by Burma.

Philippines and Muslim rebels sign Shaky peace plan

The Philippines has signed a framework peace plan with the country's largest Muslim rebel group, the Moro Islamic Liberation Front (MILF). The deal follows lengthy negotiations aimed at ending a 40-year conflict that has cost an estimated 120,000 lives. The agreement was reached in early October after talks in Malaysia. It provides for a new autonomous region in part of the south where Muslims are a majority in a mainly Catholic nation. The framework deal was signed by the chief negotiators of the government and the rebels, and witnessed by President Benigno Aquino and MILF leader Murad Ebrahim at the presidential palace in Manila. The two leaders met and exchanged gifts ahead of the signing. Murad Ebrahim, said to be in his 60s, is the first MILF leader to visit the palace. "As the saying goes, the devil is in the details. Much work remains to be done in order to fully reap the fruits of this framework agreement," he said just before the deal was signed. Previous peace efforts have broken down and negotiations with the MILF over the last 15 years have been interrupted by violence. A copy of the framework deal says the parties are to commit to reaching a "comprehensive deal" by the end of the year. It is hoped that the agreement could be implemented on the ground by the end of Mr Aquino's term in 2016. Mr Aquino, who announced the deal a week ago, has warned that there are challenges ahead

Russian Foreign Minister: U.S. playing geopolitical game in the Middle East

Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov has told the daily newspaper Rossiiskaya that the United States is playing a geopolitical game in the Middle East. Lavrov said accusations against al-Assad "are only a camouflage to cover a big geopolitical game. Another round is playing now, aimed at re-making the Middle East geopolitically. And players are taking pains to secure their geopolitical positions." According to Lavrov, the orchestrated Arab

Spring uprisings that swept Arab states in the Middle East and North Africa and led to the proxy war against Libya and now the al-Assad regime in Syria are the fruit of George W. Bush's labor and his Middle East "pro-democracy policy," The Voice of Russia reports. Bush's Middle East policy was crafted by the American Enterprise Institute and other neocon think tanks. "Of course he should be getting credit because he socialized the world to the notion that somehow democracy was possible in the Arab world," Daniele Pletka, described as a foreign policy expert at the American Enterprise Institute, told McClatchy last November. "This was an almost ridiculous notion before his presidency. And we shouldn't discount the liberation of 50 million Muslims who'd lived under oppressive Afghan and Iraqi rule." In June, the benefactor of the Arab Spring hatched by the CIA, the Egyptian Supreme Council of the Armed Forces, staffed with former Mubarak loyalists, put a capstone on its victory and declared a military dictatorship in Egypt. After the Muslim Brotherhood was installed in the Egyptian parliament, it engaged in a predictable purge and began jailing opponents and journalists like Egyptian television presenter Tawfiq Okasha, who was imprisoned for defaming Salafist president Mohamed Morsi, a former member of the Muslim Brotherhood. The Muslim Brotherhood from its inception has served the interests of British intelligence and later worked with the CIA. Without the British, "radical Islam would have remained the illegitimate, repressive minority movement that it has always been, and the Middle East would have remained stable and prosperous," notes John Coleman, a former British Intelligence agent. The clash of civilizations agenda fomented by the globalists and the neocon faction requires endless discord and sectarian violence if the balkanization of the Arab and Muslim world is to be accomplished. In his translation of Oded Yinon's "The Zionist Plan for the Middle East," Israel Shahak notes that the idea of sowing discord and fragmenting the Arab and Muslim world "is not a new idea, nor does it surface for the first time in Zionist strategic thinking. Indeed, fragmenting all Arab states into smaller units has been a recurrent

theme." Shahak points out that the American neocons who dominated foreign policy under Bush – and may once again do so under Romney – enthusiastically adopted the Israeli plan, which was conceived in the early 1950s soon after the establishment of the Israeli state. It resurfaced in the "Clean Break" document prepared in 1996 by a study group led by Richard Perle for Benjamin Netanyahu, at the time the prime minister of Israel.

Qatar aims to rehabilitate Hamas image in the eyes of the West

The Emir of Qatar's visit to Gaza on Tuesday was a daring move according to analysts who believe the aim is to rehabilitate Hamas' image in Western eyes. This could coax it into the peace camp at a time when the Arab Spring revolts, and civil war in Syria, have been reshaping power balances across the Middle East. The Emir, Shaikh Hamad Bin Khalifa Al Thani, who is rare among Arab rulers in having met senior Israeli officials, denounced Israel's policies and praised people in Gaza for standing up to it with "bare chests" — but he also urged rival Palestinian leaders to abandon their feuds. The Gaza Strip is all but cut off from the world under a land and sea blockade by Israel and Egypt that is intended to obstruct the import of arms to Hamas. A Sunni Islamist group like several others supported by Qatar elsewhere, it has long been aided by Shiite Iran and its allies Syria and Hezbollah. In the Israeli-occupied West Bank, the Palestinian Authority of President Mahmoud Abbas, Hamas' arch-rival, said it hoped the Qatari visit would not hinder the rebuilding of Palestinian unity, nor endorse a separate Palestinian territory in Gaza. This was the first visit to Gaza by any national leader since Hamas seized control of the enclave where 1.7 million people live from Abbas' forces in 2007. Israel had pulled out its troops and colonists from the territory two years earlier.

Pakistan to remain at receiving end, whether Obama or Romney wins'

White House race between President Barack Obama and Republican Mitt Romney is a curious affair around the globe, but not for Pakistan, according to an editorial in a Pakistan

daily. The editorial in the Express Tribune said that for Pakistan, it will make a very little difference which candidate ultimately wins the election. Both the candidates clearly dislike each other, have scant regard for their opponent, yet, closely agree to what the other believe on a lot of issues than they disagree. Most importantly, both Obama and Romney are wholly committed to drone strikes in Pakistan. According to the report, Romney tried to differentiate himself from Obama on his Pakistan policy by claiming that he would attach strings to the aid given to Pakistan, but seemed unaware that this has already happened under the terms of the Kerry-Lugar Bill. Traditionally, Republicans have maintained warmer ties and given more aid to Pakistan, but have also been more comfortable dealing with the country's military dictators, the report said. That history will not matter too much, though, since there is a bipartisan consensus in Washington that Pakistan is brimming with rogue actors and that a military option, in the form of drone strikes, is completely necessary, it added. According to the report, the military and civilian governments will breathe a sigh of relief after both candidates rejected the debate moderator's proposal that the US "divorce" Pakistan. The aid spigot will remain even if there is a change of guard in Washington, it said. Foreign policy has barely been a blip in this election both because of the tiny substantive difference between Obama and Romney and also because elections are settled on economic, not international, issues.

Hudud will not impact non-Muslims, Malaysian minister says

Hudud will not have an impact on non-Muslims in Malaysia, Umno minister Datuk Seri Jamil Khir Baharom has said, disputing the repeated warnings by political ally MCA to the Chinese community on the controversial Islamic penal code. In a written reply to Tan Tee Beng (IND-Nibong Tebal), the minister for Islamic affairs, explained that hudud, which prescribes the amputation of hands for theft, could only be applied to those who come under the jurisdiction of the Syariah court — Muslims. "Therefore, hudud law will not impact non-Muslims," he concluded. MCA has been using the hudud issue to warn the non-Muslim community away from

voting for Pakatan Rakyat (PR) in the coming polls, insisting that the pact's "dominant" partner PAS would insist on its implementation despite its ties with secular DAP and PKR. Hudud has remained a sensitive touch point in Southeast Asia's third-largest economy, which has a 60 per cent Muslim population, with political parties continuing to spar over the subject in the run-up to the 13th general election. The idea of an Islamic criminal code has been used to either scare the minority Chinese voters, or shore up support among the majority Malay-Muslim community. The Malay community is seen today as split three-ways among the ruling BN's mainstay and the country's biggest Malay party, Umno, the opposition's Islamist PAS, and PKR, which is seen as an urban liberal party.

East Turkistan: Chinese authorities multiply raids on uyghur homes

Authorities in China's troubled northwestern region of Xinjiang are carrying out raids on the homes of local residents following clashes between ethnic minority Muslim Uyghurs and armed police in the region's central city of Korla, an exile group said. Dilxat Raxit, spokesman for the Munich-based World Uyghur Congress, said there had been deaths and injuries among police and protesters on Friday after angry Uyghurs gathered outside a police station on Tuanjie Road in the city. "There were deaths and injuries on both sides during the violence," Raxit said. "The local authorities have enforced a news blackout since the clashes took place, and have been conducting raids." Uyghur residents of Xinjiang frequently report midnight, house-to-house raids on their homes during "strike hard" anti-terrorism campaigns, and after violent incidents. Xinjiang has been rocked by sporadic violent incidents in recent years, including three days of deadly ethnic riots in Urumqi in 2009 that left at least 197 people dead, according to official figures. The region is currently under tight security ahead of the Muslim festival of Eid al-Adha on Friday and a crucial leadership transition for China's ruling Communist Party at a national congress on Nov. 8. Security and surveillance personnel are stationed in mosques across the region, and public assembly is forbidden, Raxit said.



Karachi: The shabab of Hizb ut-Tahrir leading a rally againsts the blasphemous film



Activism: Protests on Blasphemy Issue

Karachi: Hizb ut-Tahrir calls the Armed forces to respond to the assault on Rasool (SAW)'s honour



Karachi: Participants of the rally chant slogans for the return of the Khilafah



Lahore: People from all walks of life join the rally organized by Hizb ut-Tahrir



Activism: Protests on Blasphemy Issue

Lahore: Participants of the rally demand the end of American Raj



Lahore: Slogans being raised under the flags of La ilaha illallah for the Khilafah



Activism: Protests on Blasphemy Issue

Jordan: Sisters take part in Hizb ut-Tahrir's protests against the blasphemous film



Jordan: The flags of Rasool (SAW) wave at a protest against the blasphemous film



Islamabad: Protesters take part in a rally organized by Hizb ut-Tahrir in the Capital

Continued from Page 11

religion for this policy, despite the fact that a brief study of Islam would show the importance that Islam gives to female education. Islam was the pioneered women's higher education globally and which when implemented correctly by the Khilafah system created an Islamic civilization spanning over 1300 years under which women's education flourished. It is a state that historically generated thousands of female scholars; a state in which a woman, Fatima Al-Fihri established the first university in the world in the

Activism: Protests on Blasphemy Issue

9th century – the University of Qarawayyin in Morocco; a state where the prestigious Al-Azhar university in Cairo gave access to women as students and as lecturers – a right that women in the West only acquired centuries after; and a state where the proportion of female lecturers in many classical Islamic colleges was higher than in modern Western universities.

It is the Islamic principles upon which the Khilafah is based, unlike the pseudo-Islamic systems of today that obliges the state to give the immense importance and value to education that it deserves; to harness the potential of its women; and to ensure that their educational aspirations are met. This state, which is the true representation of the Islamic system, does not permit differentiation between men and women with respect to access to rights of citizenship or higher education, in-line with the requirements of the Shariah. Furthermore, since sovereignty in legislation belongs to the Shariah within the Khilafah and not individual rulers, clerics, or parliament, rights cannot be discarded or changed according to the whims of those in power. Alongside all this, the Khilafah implements the sound Islamic economic system that contains the foundation and pillars to generate a prosperous economy and plentiful employment opportunities including for graduates. Only a system that truly values and implements the Islamic laws in entirety can achieve all this.

We call Muslim women who truly wish to see the status and rights of women elevated in the Muslim world to call for the removal of the man-made systems of the region which are ugly oppressive regimes. We call you to give your support and efforts to the establishment of the Khilafah that will stand in the 21st century as it did over hundreds of years as a true model by which to secure and spearhead the rights of women.

((وَمَنْ لَمْ يَحْكَمْ بِمَا أَنزَلَ اللَّهُ فَأُولَئِكَ هُمُ الظَّالِمُونَ))

“Whoever does not rule according to what Allah has revealed, they are the oppressors.” [TMQ Al-Maida: 45]

Dr. Nazreen Nawaz

Central

Media

Representative,

Hizb-ut

Tahrir

Answers to Questions

Sudan Agreement

The Question: Today evening (3/8/2012) Sudan signed an agreement with South Sudan on the oil issue in Addis Ababa a few hours after the U.S. Secretary of State's visit to Juba, the capital of South Sudan. The American President welcomed the agreement as soon as it was signed. So, what is behind this agreement? And why did America put its weight behind its signature? Despite the fact that a Sudanese official had ten days ago stated publicly that the finalization of agreement within nine or ninety days is impossible! Thus, in such a scenario is it in the interest of Sudan? Or is it a waiver from Sudan under pressure from the United States?

The Answer: The Answer gets clarified by reviewing the following matters:

1- Undersecretary of the Sudanese Foreign Ministry, Awad Abdel Fattah, announced saying: "we have reached a final agreement with South Sudan on the oil transit and expect to solve other issues through negotiation". (Sudanese News Agency 04/08/2012). He indicates here that the signing of this agreement is a beginning for resolution of other issues. This official Sudanese Agency quoted the spokesperson of Sudanese delegation Mutrif Sadiq immediately upon his arrival in Khartoum: "the oil agreement is convincing but it did not meet the aspirations of both sides" Then he further said: that the implementation will be started after reaching an agreement on the security issues" Thus, he confirmed that this agreement is a beginning for the security issues to be agreed upon. i.e. these two officials in the Sudanese regime implicitly clarified that there are issues that have been agreed upon in advance without they being agreed officially and their disclosure is awaited so as to be signed officially.

2- The African mediator, Thabo Mbeki told the former South African President saying: "the

two countries have a time limit expiring on the coming 22nd September to resolve the issue which is still pending. He specified a meeting in September between the presidents of both countries, Al-Bashir and Salva Kir to discuss the status of the disputed Abyei", (according to American Radio Sawa 5/8/2012). He said: "that the two parties understand the need to reach a security agreement by the time specified to start the oil pumping", (Reuters 4/8/2012). This indicates that this oil pumping agreement comes within other treaties and is not separate. Some of these statements clearly show that a deal was entered into between the regime in Sudan and South Sudan to resolve issues more important than the pumping oil agreement, namely the issue of Abyei.

3- Therefore Hillary Clinton came on 3/8/2012 to Juba and asked Salva Kir to sign this agreement and after a few hours, it was indeed signed despite the fact that this signing was meeting with obstacles, as stated ten days ago by Mutrif Sadiq, the spokesperson of the negotiating Sudanese delegation when he said: the finalization of agreement within nine or ninety days is impossible, because some issues need more time to be discussed and solved", (BBC 23/7/2012). This indicates that the Sudanese delegation until 2/8/2012 did not expect it to be signed and this is the period specified by the Security Council in its Decision No. 2046 which obligated the two countries to sign an agreement to settle their differences regarding the demarcation of the border and the disputed territories. Otherwise, sanctions will be imposed on the two sides. So when one day passed, America put its weight compelling the two sides to sign. American representative in the United Nations and the Security Council, Susan Rice stated, saying: "The United States calls on both the parties to immediately fulfill their obligations in conformity with the resolution 2046", (BBC 2/8/2012).

Answers to Questions

4- And after the agreement was concluded, U.S. President Barack Obama hailed saying: the presidents of Sudan and South Sudan deserve congratulation on this agreement. I welcome the efforts of the international community which has united to encourage and support the parties to seek a solution". (AFP 4/8/2012). Similarly, U.S. Secretary of State Clinton praised "the courage shown by the leaders of the Republic of South Sudan in taking this decision". She said: "this impasse should be overcome for the sake of the people of Sudan and their aspirations for a better future in light of other future challenges" (the same source).

5- The Sudanese regime made enormous concessions in this agreement. Its biggest concession is to abandon Sudan's oil to the regime of South Sudan which possesses 75% of Sudan's oil by its recognizing the partition of South Sudan and making it a separate entity. Then, Sudan withdrew from the price which it was demanding. The Sudanese regime demanded a sum of \$ 36 as remuneration for every barrel passing through its territory and then retreated \$ 22,20 per barrel. The South Sudan was insisting to pay \$ 7,61 but accepted to raise the remuneration up to 9,10 \$ as was stated by the Chief of the delegation of South Sudan, Pagan Amum ten days prior to the signing of the agreement (BBC 23/7/2012). However, When Clinton intervened, South Sudan accepted to pay \$ 9.48 for each barrel and Sudan also agreed on it! The figure was close to the demand of South Sudan and too far from the figure, which was being demanded by the Sudanese regime. The latter accepted one fourth of what it was demanding at first and less than half of what it demanded later on. In addition, the Sudanese regime was demanding \$ 4.9 billion as part of the debt to South Sudan; but the officials in South Sudan accepted to give the Sudanese regime \$ 3.2 billion. The Sudanese regime retreated and accepted this amount!

6- The most dangerous thing in Sudanese waiver is that the agreement is a prelude for a most significant relinquishment in the Abyei region. The Sudanese regime accepted to carry out a referendum there and withdrew its troops from the region in order to pave the way for it (the referendum). It means that Sudan is ready

to give up the region and the difference exists as to who has the right to vote. South Sudan is rejecting the participation of Masiriya Muslim tribe in the voting, which forms the majority in this region and insists that the right to referendum belongs to the Dinka tribe loyal to South Sudan. It denotes that the result of the referendum, if done on this basis, would be considered as giving Abyei to South Sudan. When America put its weight to sign the oil pumping agreement, South Sudan was quickly made abandoning its insistence, despite the fact that the issue was being negotiated for some time and no conclusion was reached. Rather, the agreement was almost impossible as stated by the spokesperson of the aforesaid Sudanese delegation. Hence, America is now assured of securing concessions from the Sudanese regime on the subject of oil agreement as well as on Abyei region as the statements of Secretary of State Clinton refer to that when she said: " this impasse should be overcome for the sake of the people of South Sudan and their aspirations for a better future in light of other challenges". These aspirations and challenges are specifically related to the Abyei region due to the fact that South Sudan insists its annexation to her area which it has acquired from Sudan. The praise showered by the American President on the agreement indicates that the matter extends beyond the pumping oil agreement through the north, which is not a big issue because there is a possibility of pumping the oil through Kenya and that was being negotiated. South Sudan felt that it is not hugely dependent on crossing the oil through the north and therefore it insists the amount it sought. The African mediator frankly pointed out that the issue is linked to resolving the dispute over Abyei region and a time limit up to 22/09/2012 has been given to both the presidents to agree upon its relevant details.

7- After reviewing the above, it can be said that:

This agreement, apparently related to the oil, has realized scores of benefits for South Sudan. Some of them are related to oil while others are related to different fields:

As for the oil agreement, the Sudanese regime has officially and actually acknowledged it by consenting that South Sudan shall possess 75%

Answers to Questions

of Sudan oil and it (Sudan) is negotiating only the oil transit charges and that it shall have no ownership over any share of oil. In the future, South Sudan can divert its pumping direction towards Kenya if she deems it appropriate or if America wants to put pressure on the Sudanese regime to withdraw in its favor from any issue related to Darfur or to other areas and issues. Then, Sudan will permanently be deprived from any wages especially considering the fact that this agreement is valid only for a period of three and a half years. South Sudan has imposed the charges/prices on the crossing of per barrel, which are very close to the figure offered by it i.e. \$ 9,10 and too far from the figure which the Sudanese regime was demanding and that is \$ 36 or \$ 22.20.

There are other benefits to South Sudan, that will appear in the future and most prominent among them is the Abyei region. The Sudanese regime has given up all of South Sudan. So, it is not unlikely that it will also give up Abyei region. It has already accepted carrying out a referendum under its related protocol in Naivasha Treaty of 2005, which led to the separation of South (Sudan). The difference remained over who will take part in the referendum. So, whoever accepts the referendum on self-determination of his territory, will also accept to waive his territory. In return, and in order to throw dust in the eyes (i.e. to deceive), South Sudan is likely to accept the border demarcation in the region of South Kordofan and the Blue Nile and to recognize the Heglig region for Sudan as it internationally recognized in 2009 that this region belongs to Sudan. Thus, the Sudanese regime will come out and claim that it has achieved victories and earned gains while demarcating the disputed borders against its withdrawal from the Abyei region via referendum! The main factor in achieving all that is America, which put pressure on the Sudanese regime subservient to it. Accordingly, it gives up at the time when it finds that the circumstances are feasible to do so. The Khartoum based Sudanese regime is afraid of collapse and is not finding any protector/supporter for itself except America and therefore it fulfills their requests and easily gives up its Islamic lands so that another State, similar to the Jewish State, is established in that

area. The State of South Sudan, indeed, announced that it will establish a close alliance with the Jewish state. Subsequently, the mutual visits were made between the two and the contract agreements were signed, enabling the Jewish state to direct the State of South Sudan as it wishes, especially against Sudan.

20th Ramadan 1433 AH
8/8/2012 CE

China-Japan Conflict

The Question: U.S. Defense Secretary during his visit to Japan on 16-17/9/2012 said that "this dispute between China and Japan on the subject of the islands can intensify" (A.P. News Agency 17/9/2012) and then added "I am worried because when these countries start provoking one another on these disputed islands, it would enhance the possibility of making the wrong decision from one side or the other, which on its part could lead to violence and result in conflict" (the above source). The current development came to the fore in the wake of the announcement made by Japan on 11/9/2012 that she bought the three islands from a Japanese family in an archipelago of the East China Sea, thus she claims their ownership and calls them Senkaku. This scenario triggered tension between them and China who claims that these islands belong to them and she calls them Diaoyu. China sent two warships towards these islands...

So the question is: why did Japan take this step at this juncture? Does America have a role in this conflict? Is it possible that the situation will drive them to the outbreak of war or is it a storm which will calm down?

The Answer: The answer to the question is clarified by reviewing the following matters:

1- China claims that these three islands are from among the five main islands owned by her and were captured by Japan in the war that took place between them during 1894 and 1895. The Americans took control of them in World War II after they defeated the Japanese. They (the Americans) annexed the administration of these islands to the Japanese island of Okinawa which they also occupied in the same war and

Answers to Questions

established therein a large U.S. base. The America, however, handed over these islands to the Japanese in 1972 by handing over them to a Japanese family and then these islands were bought by another Japanese family who were controlling them since the nineties of the nineteenth century. The area of all these islands in the archipelago is about 6.2 km, including the rocks surrounded by the sea water. These islands are uninhabited but they are of strategic importance in the East China Sea. They are close to the routes of maritime navigation and their waters are replete with fish wealth. Also there are reports about the possibility that they may have large reserves of oil and gas.

The issue of these islands has been raised between the two countries several times, the last of which was in the year 2010 when a similar tension arose.

2- The United States officially informed Japan on 29/06/2012 that they want to deploy "12" Osprey aircrafts in the U.S. Futenma base in the Japanese island of Okinawa and that the deployment of these aircrafts will be at the end of the ongoing month (ASHA - Musrs – scene - masress almashhad 1.7.2012) and U.S. forces announced that one of these aircrafts will begin its trip on 21/9/2012 (Arabic News CN World 20.9.2012). All this came in an atmosphere witnessing Japanese protests against the American presence, which has started to be looked upon unfavorably, with some of them even demanding the departure of the Americans from their country, as there are still some forty-seven thousand U.S. troops there, by virtue of a bilateral security treaty signed in 1960 with the Government of Japan under the U.S. occupation. This is an American method which she has resorted to in order to change the form of her occupation and keep her influence in the country occupied by her, as she did in Iraq when she held the U.S. security treaty with the Maliki government in 2008 under American official occupation as well as the American strategic security agreement with the Government of Afghanistan, which was signed a few month ago under the patronage of ongoing American occupation.

In such an atmosphere of Japanese dissatisfaction with the large American presence

in their country, America announced the deployment of aircrafts! Of course, such an announcement will intensify the opposition by the Japanese... So, America deemed it appropriate that creating an atmosphere of provocation with China and facade that the war with China is approaching, will make the Japanese accept the deployment of these aircrafts and will mitigate their protests against the American presence, on the pretext that the U.S. will support Japan in the face of China! That's why, in agreement with the Japanese government who is closely pursuant to the U.S. policy, the issue of the islands was raised and that they belong to Japan, while they are disputed between the two. This scenario provoked China and created an atmosphere of a clash with her and that war may erupt. And this is what pacified the Japanese opposition to the American presence in their country, considering that they would lend a helping hand to them in the face of China.

3- Therefore, raising the issue of the islands at this time, after the announcement to deploy the aircrafts, is a deliberate step by the Government of Japan based on the U.S. plan to provoke the Chinese, until the tension between Japan and China becomes apparent, so that the Japanese are terrified by China and surrender to the American plans to be implemented in their region. Therefore, the statements by U.S. officials indicate the forthcoming confrontation or that they are a prelude to confrontation! U.S. Defense Secretary during his visit to Japan said on 16-17/9/2012 "this dispute between the two can intensify" (A.P. News Agency 17/9/2012) and then added "I am worried because when these countries start provoking one another on these disputed islands, it would enhance the possibility of making the wrong decision from one side or the other, which on its part could lead to violence and result in conflict" (the above source). He called on "the two sides to equanimity and self-control". U.S. Defense Secretary describes the issue as if a war is about to occur between the two countries for the sake of the American goals. He reminded Japan of the security pacts between his country and Japan to show that America is ready to stand by Japan and said "we honor our commitments related to the treaties existing for a long time and will not

Answers to Questions

change" (the above source). All these escalations are happening at a time when the US Defense Secretary is focusing in his talks with the Japanese government on the US Plans to deploy 12 Osprey aircrafts in the U.S. base in the Japanese island of Okinawa, amidst strong opposition from the residents of the South Island as reported French News Agency on 16/9/2012.

4- The Chinese reaction was emotional and the mass demonstrations were allowed to roam through the streets of her cities, to protest against the Japanese step towards these islands, that are officially not controlled by the Japanese state. But when it announced the purchase of three of them from the Japanese family to be owned by the Japanese state, the sovereignty of these islands officially came under the Japanese state and it was considered as if these islands were again annexed by Japan. This Japanese action provoked China, who moved some of her ships that guard her territorial waters in the East China Sea, toward these islands. The Prime Minister of China, Wen Jiabao, being impressed and touched by the Chinese emotions said: "the era of humiliating the Chinese has gone forever" (A.P. News Agency 17/9/2012). The Chinese still remember the humiliation that they suffered from at the hands of the Japanese, whether it is in the war that broke out between them in the nineties of the nineteenth century or the direct Japanese occupation of China in the thirties of the twentieth century, which lasted until Japan's defeat in World War II by America. So, they went out of Chinese control and then were left for Americans. The complication of defeat and humiliation that afflicted the Chinese at the hands of the Japanese is still a factor of provocation among them. So the Chinese getting agitated by such an issue is very easy.

5- Thus, America hit two birds with one stone, by pushing Japan to claim the islands... on the one hand the atmosphere became strained between China and Japan, so that Japan feel compelled to have the American presence, which can be easily acceptable to the Japanese. On the other hand, America wants to keep China always busy with tense regional issues, thus preventing China from any aspirations towards any global state policy outside the

limits of its territory. America continues in her plans aimed at restraining China and putting an end to China's plans to strengthen its regional position in order to reach the global status, particularly towards America. Thus, the U.S. plan in the regional areas of China is to achieve this goal. America has already announced her plans to strengthen her presence in Asia/ Pacific Ocean as part of a new strategy when her Defense Secretary, Leon Panetta, announced on 01/06/2012 this strategy of his country in Asia/ Pacific Ocean regarding sending six aircrafts carriers and deploying 60% of its warships in this region over the coming years until 2020. Thus America is working towards igniting all the conflicts in the face of China: in the region of the East China Sea, as happened with Japan and in the South China Sea, as there is tension between China and the Philippines over the islands and fishing rights, and also there is dispute between China and Vietnam over the islands where the Chinese rose up and expelled the Vietnamese in 1988. All this is aroused to keep China busy in these two regions!

America also stands behind these countries in the two regions with the exception of North Korea, and incites them against China so that the latter, as we said above, is made busy in her own region and cannot be in a position to go beyond this territory, especially in view of the fact that America has full control over many of these countries, such as South Korea in the East China Sea, the Philippines in the South China Sea, where there are U.S. bases, as well as Indonesia being subordinate to U.S. policy and then Japan, which is also in the American orbit.

6- This is the reality of America's role in this matter and the triggering of the issue of the islands at this time. As for the question that this provocation will reach the outbreak of war between China and Japan over the islands, it is unlikely at least in the foreseeable future, because there are islands larger and more important than these such as the island of Taiwan, formerly known as Formosa and for which China did not ignite a war, considering that America agrees with her that she would work to peacefully bring them back to China. Then there is a conflict with the Philippines, Vietnam and others on islands located in the

Answers to Questions

South China Sea, without getting into war with them. Otherwise, she will open upon herself a door, which she cannot close! China will never sacrifice its large interests with Japan because of these islands, for the reason that the volume of trade between them is about \$ 300 billion a year and the Japanese companies operating in China employ more than 20 million Chinese workers. In addition, China is working to take advantage of Japanese technology and expertise and therefore it is not in China's interest to ignite a war with Japan over these islands. The Chinese Defense Minister Liang Gwaungla said in his meeting with U.S. Defense Secretary on 18/9/2012 while answering to a question by the journalists as to whether Beijing intends to resort to force, he said that "we still hope to have a peaceful and negotiated solution", (A.P. News Agency 18/9/2012) which indicates that it is unlikely on the part of China to ignite a war with Japan for these islands.

7- If China remains preoccupied with her territorial issues, America could succeed in expelling China from international politics. However, it is true that China is effectively threatening the U.S. policy worldwide and creates problems to her, which threaten the latter's interests i.e. China applies the policy of effective threat to the U.S. policy in every region of the world and therefore it is easy for China to have effective influence in her regional domain, especially in the two regions of East and South China Sea.

However, the noticeable prospects in the Chinese policy are that it is still misled by the notion that her effective interference in the international politics is not in her best interest and so she only takes care of her regional areas... and is not aware of the fact that she will never be able to control her, regions if she does not have global political aspirations of creating problems for America, in order to compel the

latter to lessen the harassment of China in its territory. Unless China pursues this policy, she will remain at a standstill and America will continue to create regional tensions for her, one after the other.

After all, perhaps history is repeating itself wholly or partially! The Khilaafah, Allah willing, will be established and its international political actions, "prior to its military actions, "in expelling the West and America from the Islamic region, will be an example to be copied and followed by China, in expelling the American influence from around China. Thus the political actions by the Khilaafah will indirectly realize the security to China as the Khilaafah directly achieved security to China in the past. The Chinese and Islamic sources mention that the Chinese state requested the Islamic Khilaafah state at the time of Abbasid Khalifaah Abu Jafar Al-Mansur to assist her in quelling the unrest and chaos occurred in China in 756 CE and engulfed the country. The Khalifah sent a force consisting of 4 thousand Muslim soldiers and thus matters became stabilized in China and they brought security for the people of the country. The Chinese became highly impressed by the character, good behavior and demeanor of the Muslim soldiers and requested them to stay with them and hence those Muslims soldiers stayed there in their capacity as the Daw'ah carriers, spreading the Deen of Islam, its guidance and light among the people of China. Among their grandsons today are the Muslims of East Turkestan who are currently being oppressed by China, instead of reciprocating the favor to them!! Will China become aware of this matter and end its occupation of Turkestan, without denying the favor done to her by the Khilaafah in the past?!

4th Dhul Qa'dah 1433 AH
20th September 2012 CE

Dua Qunut Against The Tyrant Bashar

يَا هَادِيَا مِنَ الضَّلَالَةِ، يَا نَاصِرَ الْفِتَّةِ الْقَلِيلَةِ عَلَى الْفِتَّةِ الْكَثِيرَةِ، اللَّهُمَّ عَجِّلْ بِالْفَرَجِ، اللَّهُمَّ يَسِّرْ نُصْرَةَ دِينِكَ، اللَّهُمَّ انْصُرْ حَمَلَةَ دَعْوَتِكَ فِي كُلِّ مَكَانٍ،

O Who guides against misguidance, O Who gives victory to the small group over the large group, O Allah hasten relief, O Allah facilitate the Nussrah of your deen, O Allah help the carriers of your Da'wa everywhere.

اللَّهُمَّ انْتَقِمْ مِنْ طَاغِيَةِ سُورِيَا وَرَبَائِيتِهِ، فَإِنَّهُمْ يَحَارِبُونَ دِينَكَ وَدَعْوَتَكَ، وَ يَقْتُلُونَ مَنْ يَرْفَعُ رَايَتَكَ،

O Allah take revenge upon the tyrant of Syria and his henchmen, for they war against your Deen and your Dawa and kill those who raise your banner.

اللَّهُمَّ عَجِّلْ بِالْخِلَافَةِ حَتَّى تَنْتَقِمَ مِنْهُمْ، وَمِنْ أَسْيَادِهِمُ الْأُمَرِئَكَانِ، وَأُمَنَاءِهِمُ الْمُسْلِمِينَ الْعَمَلَاءِ،

O Allah hasten the Khilafah so that you take revenge from them and their American masters and those similar to them from the agent rulers of Muslims.

وَأَشْفِ مِنْهُمْ صُدُورَنَا، وَأَكْرِمْنَا بِفَتْحِ قَرِيبٍ اللَّهُمَّ صُبَّ عَلَيْهِمْ عَذَابَكَ، وَاقْصِمْ ظُهُورَهُمْ، واقطع دابرهم، وَمَكِّنَّا مِنْ رِقَابِهِمْ، وَاَنْصُرْنَا عَلَيْهِمْ، إِنَّكَ عَلَى كُلِّ شَيْءٍ قَدِيرٌ.

O Allah cast upon them Your punishment, break their backs, uproot them, empower us against their necks, make us victorious over them, heal our chests from them, honour us with an imminent victory, indeed You are Capable over everything.

بِشَارِ الطَّاغِيَةِ اللَّهُمَّ يَا مُجْرِيَ السَّحَابِ وَيَا هَازِمَ الْأَحْزَابِ يَا نَاصِرَ الْمَظْلُومِينَ وَلَوْ بَعْدَ حِينٍ اللَّهُمَّ اهْلِكْ

O Allah Controller of the Clouds, Vanquisher of the Confederates, Helper of the Oppressed, even after a while destroy the tyranny of Bashar

اللَّهُمَّ زَلْزِلِ الْأَرْضَ مِنْ تَحْتِهِ اللَّهُمَّ أَقْتُلْهُ شَرَّ قَتْلِ وَدَمَرُهُ شَرَّ تَدْمِيرٍ هُوَ وَرَبَائِيتُهُ

O Allah shake the earth beneath him. O Allah kill him in the most evil of deaths and destroy him in the most evil of destruction, him and his henchmen.

اللَّهُمَّ كُنْ مَعَ إِخْوَانِنَا مِنَ الْمُسْلِمِينَ فِي سُورِيَا اللَّهُمَّ انْصُرْهُمْ وَصَبِّرْهُمْ وَكُنْ مَعَهُمْ عَلَى عَدُوِّهِمْ

اللَّهُمَّ آمِينَ آمِينَ آمِينَ

O Allah be with our brothers from the Muslims in Syria. O Allah make them victorious and comfort them. Be with them against their enemies. O Allah.
Aameen. Aameen. Aameen.